arXiv:0808.3544v1 [math.NT] 26 Aug 2008

THE UNIVERSAL KUMMER CONGRUENCES

SHAOFANG HONG, JIANRONG ZHAO, AND WEI ZHAO

Abstract. Let p be a prime. In this paper, we present detailed p-adic analysis to factorials and double factorials and their congruences. We give good bounds for the p-adic sizes of the coefficients of the divided universal Bernoulli number $\frac{\hat{B}_n}{n}$ when n is divisible by $p-1$. Using these we then establish the universal Kummer congruences modulo powers of a prime p for the divided universal Bernoulli numbers $\frac{\hat{B}_n}{n}$ when n is divisible by $p-1$. This strengthens the modulo primes theorems obtained by Clark and recently by Adelberg, Hong and Ren. It also complements Adelberg's modulo prime powers result.

1. Introduction

Bernoulli numbers are the basic topic in number theory. Let $n \geq 0$ be an integer. Then the n-th Bernoulli number is defined by the following formula:

$$\frac{t}{e^t-1}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{B_n}{n!}t^n. \tag{1.1}$$

From (1.1) one can read that $B_1=-1/2$ and $B_n=0$ for all odd $n>1$. The first few values for even n are: $B_0=1, B_2=1/6, B_4=-1/30, B_6=1/42$, etc. The periodic behavior of the divided Bernoulli numbers $\frac{B_n}{n}$ is closely related to the existence of a p-adic zeta function [23]. The classical Kummer congruences [20] concern the congruence relations among the divided Bernoulli numbers $\frac{B_n}{n}$. In fact, they state that if p is a prime and $(p-1) \not| n$ and $n \equiv m \pmod{p-1}$, then $\frac{B_n}{n} \equiv \frac{B_m}{m} \pmod{p}$. One can prove this congruence by means of p-adic measures and p-adic integration [32, 33]. In [6], Baker et al established some global-local Kummer congruences. There are also many other beautiful and useful congruences, such as Wilson's theorem [4, 21, 25], Fermat's little theorem [17, 19, 21, 30], Wolstenholme's theorem [34, 35], Lucas' congruence [26] and Glaisher's congruence [13, 14, 15, 27]. It should be noted that using Washington's p-adic expansion of certain reciprocal power sum of positive integers [31], Hong [15] obtained a generalization of Glaisher's congruence while Slavutskii [27] got an extension of the Glaisher-Hong congruence using an elementary method. In this paper, we mainly concern the modulo prime powers universal Kummer congruences.

In 1989, Clarke [10] introduced the concept of universal Bernoulli numbers. Assume that $c_1, c_2, ...$ are indeterminates over $\mathbf{Q}$. Then let

$$F(t)=t+c_1\frac{t^2}{2}+c_2\frac{t^3}{3}+\cdots.$$

Date: August 26, 2008.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11D79, 11B68, 11A07.

Key words and phrases. Universal Bernoulli number, universal Kummer congruence, factorial, double factorial, p-adic valuation, partition, reduced partition.

The research of Hong was supported partially by Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University Grant # NCET-06-0785.

Let $G(t) = F^{-1}(t)$ be the compositional formal power series inverse of $F(t)$, namely $F(G(t)) = G(F(t)) = t$. The *universal Bernoulli numbers* $\hat{B}_n$ are defined by

$$\frac{t}{G(t)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{B}_n \frac{t^n}{n!}. \tag{1.2}$$

Obviously we have $\hat{B}_n \in \mathbf{Q}[c_1, c_2, ..., c_n]$. Actually $\hat{B}_n$ is a non-trivial $\mathbf{Q}$-linear combination of all the monomials of weight n, where c_i has weight i. So $\hat{B}_n$ is the sum of $p(n)$ monomials, where $p(n)$ is the partition function. Recently, Tempesta [29] introduced the universal higher-order Bernoulli polynomials and universal Bernoulli χ-numbers.

Substituting $c_i = (-1)^i$, we get $F(t) = \log(1+t)$ so that $G(t) = e^t - 1$ and we obtain the classical Bernoulli numbers $B_n = \hat{B}_n$. Miller [22] investigated the specialization where c_i is the equivalence class of the complex projective space and proved that for this specialization, if k is odd and $k \neq 1$, then $\hat{B}_k/k \in L$, where L is the *Lazard ring*, a subring of $\mathbf{Q}[c_1, c_2, ...]$. Carlitz [7, 8, 9] studied the question of Kummer congruences for specializations of the variables c_i while Snyder [28] considered this question in relation to formal groups and one-dimensional algebraic groups. However, the results of Carlitz and Snyder on Kummer congruences do not appear to apply directly to the divided universal Bernoulli numbers. Clarke [10] showed that the divided universal Bernoulli number $\frac{\hat{B}_n}{n}$ is p-integral if $(p-1) \not| n$ which forms part of his universal von Staudt theorem. Adelberg [3] sets up the universal Kummer congruences modulo prime p for the divided universal Bernoulli numbers $\frac{\hat{B}_n}{n}$ when $(p-1) \not| n$. Consequently Adelberg [4] obtained the universal Kummer congruences modulo powers of prime p for the case $(p-1) \not| n$. Recently, Adelberg, Hong and Ren [5] established the universal Kummer congruences modulo prime p for the divided universal Bernoulli numbers when $(p-1)|n$. They also gave a simple proof to Clarke's 1989 universal von Staudt theorem [10] which generalized the theorems of Dibag [12], Ray [24], Katz [18] and Hurwitz [16]. But the question of the universal Kummer congruences modulo powers of prime p for the divided universal Bernoulli numbers when $(p-1)|n$ still remains open.

In the present paper, we investigate the universal Kummer congruence modulo powers of prime p for the divided universal Bernoulli numbers for the remaining case $(p-1)|n$. It is divided into two cases: even prime 2 and odd primes p such that $(p-1)|n$. For this purpose, we need to estimate p-adic valuations of the coefficients of the divided universal Bernoulli number $\frac{\hat{B}_n}{n}$ when n is divisible by $p-1$. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present notations and some preliminary results. Consequently in Section 3, we establish the universal Kummer congruences modulo powers of odd primes p when $(p-1)|n$. Finally, we provide in Section 4 detailed analysis to 2-adic valuations of many kind of factorials and double factorials, and then we set up the universal Kummer congruences modulo powers of 2.

2. Preliminaries

If $u = (u_1, u_2, ...) \in \mathbf{N}^\infty$ with $u_i = 0$ if $i \gg 0$ and $\omega(u) := \sum iu_i$, we identify u with a partition of $\omega(u)$, where u_i is the number of occurrences of the part i in the partition. If $d(u) := \sum u_i$, then $d(u)$ is the number of parts in the partition. We call $\omega(u)$ the *weight* of u and $d(u)$ the *degree* of u. If $u_i = 0$ for $i > n$, we write $u \in \mathbf{N}^n$. Let $l = k2^N$.

As usual, we let $v = v_p$ be the *normalized p-adic valuation* of $\mathbf{Q}$, i.e., $v(a) = b$ if $p^b || a$. We can extend v to $\mathbf{Q}[c_1, c_2, ...]$ by $v(\sum a_u c^u) = \min\{v(a_u)\}$ when $u = (u_1, ..., u_n) \in \mathbf{N}^n$ and $c_u = c_1^{u_1} ... c_n^{u_n}$. By the Lagrange inversion [1] [2] [10], we have

$$\frac{\widehat{B}_n}{n} = \sum_{\omega=n} \tau_u c^u, \tag{2.1}$$

where $u = (u_1, ..., u_n) \in \mathbf{N}^n$, $\omega = \omega(u)$, $d = d(u)$, $c_u = c_1^{u_1}...c_n^{u_n}$ $\gamma = 2^{u_1}...(n+1)^{u_n} u_1!...u_n!$ and

$$\tau_u = \frac{(-1)^{d-1}(n+d-2)!}{\gamma_u}. \tag{2.2}$$

For any positive odd integer a, we define the *double factorial* $a!!$ of a by $a!! = \prod_{1\le k\le a,(2,k)=1} k$. That is, $a!! = a \cdot (a-2)...3 \cdot 1$. For a real number x, define $\lceil x \rceil$ to be the least integer greater than x and $\lfloor x \rfloor$ to be the greatest integer less than x. Then $\lceil x \rceil + \lceil y \rceil \ge \lceil x+y \rceil$, $\lceil n+x \rceil = n + \lceil x \rceil$, $\lfloor x \rfloor + \lfloor y \rfloor \le \lfloor x+y \rfloor$ and $\lfloor n+x \rfloor = n + \lfloor x \rfloor$ for any real numbers x and y and any integer n.

We will freely use the standard results listed in the following Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 2.1. *We have*

$$v((ab)!) \ge v(a!) + v(b!). \tag{2.3}$$

$$v((lp)!) = l + v(l!).\ \textit{Moreover},\ v((lp^t)!) = \frac{l(p^t-1)}{p-1} + v(l!). \tag{2.4}$$

$$v(a!) = v((\lfloor a/p \rfloor p)!) = (a - s(a))/(p-1). \tag{2.5}$$

where $s(a) := \sum_{i=0}^t a_i$ *is the base* p *digit sum if* $a = \sum_{i=0}^t a_i p^i$ *with digits* $0 \le a_i \le p-1$, *and*

$$v(a!) \le (a-1)/(p-1) \ \textit{if}\ a > 0. \tag{2.6}$$

Lemma 2.2. [4] *If* p *is an odd prime and* $N = v(l)$, *then*

$$(lp)!/(l!p^l) \equiv (-1)^l \pmod{p^{N+1}}.$$

Lemma 2.3. [4] $v((\sum h_j p^j)!) \ge \sum (jh_j + v(h_j!))$.

Lemma 2.4. [4] *If* p *is an odd prime and* $0 < k \le p$ *then*

$$v(a!) \ge v(a+k)$$

unless $a = p - k$, *in which case* $v(a!) = v(a+k) - 1$.

Clarke [10] showed some congruences about factorials. Clarke and Jone [11] got some more stronger congruences about factorials while Adelberg, Hong and Ren [5] strengthened Clarke's congruence for the $p = 2$ case. But for our purpose, we need the following result due to Adelberg [4].

Lemma 2.5. [4] *Let* $v(l) = N$. *Then*

(i) $((l+q)p)!/((l+q)!p^{l+q}) \equiv (-1)^l (qp)!(q!p^q) \pmod{p^{N+1}}$.

(ii) $((l+q)p+a)!/((l+q)!p^{l+q} \equiv (-1)^l (qp+a)!(q!p^q) \pmod{p^{N+1}}$.

(iii) *If* $a \ge ep$, *then congruence* (ii) *holds* $\pmod{p^{N+1}}$.

(iv) *If* $a \ge (e+1)p$, *then*

$$((l+q)p+a)!/((l+q)!p^{l+q+e} \equiv (-1)^l (qp+a)!(q!p^{q+e}) \pmod{p^{N+1}}.$$

3. The universal Kummer congruences modulo odd prime powers

In this section, we set up the Universal Kummer congruences modulo odd prime powers. We begin with the following concept. Note that it is different from Definition 4.1 in [4].

Definition 3.1. A partition u is called *reduced* if there is at most a part $g \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $g \neq p^\alpha - 1$, $u_g = 1$ and if $i \neq g$ and $u_i \neq 0$, then $i = p^\alpha - 1$.

Lemma 3.2. *Assume* $n = (m+i)p - i = i(p-1) + mp$ *where* $i \geq 0$. *Let* $w(u) = n$ *and suppose that* $d(u) \leq i+1$. *Then there exists a reduced partition* u' *such that* $w(u') = n$, $d(u') \leq i+1$ *and* $v(\tau_u) \geq v(\tau_{u'})$.

Proof. We first define a partition u'': If $t \neq p^\alpha - 1$, then $u''_t := 0$; if $t = p^\alpha - 1$, then

$$u''_t = u''_{p^\alpha-1} := \sum_{\varepsilon\in\mathbf{Z}^+,\ p\nmid\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon p^\alpha-1} + \delta(\alpha)\cdot\sum_{u_j\geq p,\ p\nmid(j+1)}\Big(\Big\lceil\frac{u_j}{p-1}\Big\rceil-1\Big), \tag{3.1}$$

where $\delta(\alpha) = 1$ for $\alpha = 1$ and $\delta(\alpha) = 0$ for $\alpha \geq 2$. In fact, we construct u'' as follows:

(i) If $u_t \neq 0$ with $t = \varepsilon p^\alpha - 1$ and $p \nmid \varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon > 1$, let $u''_t = 0$ and $u''_k = u_k + u_t$ where $k = p^\alpha - 1$, i.e., transfer u_t to the part $p^\alpha - 1$.

(ii) If $u_t \geq p$ where $p \nmid (t+1)$, Let $u''_t = 0$ and $u''_{p-1} = u_{p-1} + \lceil u_t/(p-1)\rceil - 1$, i.e., transfer to the part $p-1$.

(iii) If $0 < u_t < p$ and $p \nmid (t+1)$, let $u''_t = 0$, i.e., delete the part t from the partition. The partition u''_t is formed by considering all parts, and should be thought of as loading the parts where $i = p^\alpha - 1$. All other parts of u where (i)-(iii) do not apply is unchanged. The partition u''_t can be constructed from u one part at a time or all at once.

Clearly $w(u'') \leq n$ and if $u_i \neq 0$ then $i = p^\alpha - 1$. Observe that $v(\gamma''_u) \geq v(\gamma_u)$ by (2.4) and (2.6), and that $d(u'') = d(u)$ if all modifications are of type (i), where otherwise $d(u'') < d(u)$.

Next let $g = n - w(u'') \geq 0$. If $g = 0$, let $u' = u''$. Then u' is just what we needed.

If $g > 0$, let $u'_g = u''_g + 1$ and $u'_j = u''_j$ if $j \neq g$. Then u' is reduced, $w(u') = n$, $d' = d(u') = d(u'') + 1$ and $v(\gamma_{u'}) \geq v(\gamma_{u''}) \geq v(\gamma_u)$. If $d(u'') < d(u)$, then $d(u') \leq d(u) \leq i+1$. Hence $v(\tau_u) \geq v(\tau_{u'})$. Finally assume $d(u'') = d(u)$. In this case all modifications are of type (i), so $n = \sum iu_i = \sum(\varepsilon p^\alpha - 1)u_{\varepsilon p^\alpha - 1}$ and $d = \sum u_{\varepsilon p^\alpha-1}$. But $n = (m+i)p - i$, thus $i \equiv \sum u_{\varepsilon p^\alpha - 1} = d(u) \pmod p$. Since $1 \leq d(u) \leq i+1$, it follows that $d(u) \leq i$ and $d(u') = d(u) + 1 \leq i+1$. Also $n + d - 2 = \sum \varepsilon p^\alpha u_{\varepsilon p^\alpha-1} - 2$. Hence $v((n+d-2)!) = v((n+d'-2)!)$ by (2.5), which means $v(\tau_u) \geq v(\tau_{u'})$. The proof is complete. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let* $n = (m+i)p - i$ *with* $m = s(p-1)$. *Let* u *be reduced, with* $w = n$ *and* $d \leq i+1$. *Then* $v(\tau_u) \geq s(p-2) - 1$.

Proof. Let $h_j = u_{p^j-1}$ with $j \geq 1$. Consider the following cases:

Case 1. If $u_t \neq 0$, then $t = p^\alpha - 1$. In this case, $d = \sum_{j\geq1} h_j$ and $n = \sum_{j\geq1} h_j(p^j - 1) = (m+i)p - i$. Then $i \equiv \sum_{j\geq1} h_j = d \pmod p$. Since $d \leq i+1$, we may let $i = d + kp$ with $k \geq 0$. Then

$$n + d - 2 = \sum_{j\geq1} h_j p^j - 2 = (m+i)p - i + d - 2 = (m+i-k)p - 2.$$

It implies that $k=m+i-\sum_{j\geq 1}h_jp^{j-1}$. It is easy to see that $v(\gamma_u)=\sum_{j\geq 1}(jh_j+v(h_j!))$. Hence by Lemma 2.3

$$\begin{aligned}
v(\tau_u) &= v(((m+i-k)p-2)!)-\sum_{j\geq 1}(jh_j+v(h_j!)) \\
&= m+i-k-1+v((m+i-k-1)!)-\sum_{j\geq 1}(jh_j+v(h_j!)) \\
&= m+i-k-1+v((\sum_{j\geq 1}h_jp^{j-1}-1)!)-\sum_{j\geq 1}((j-1)h_j+v(h_j!))-d \\
&= m-1+k(p-1)+\beta,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$\beta=v((\sum_{j\geq 1}h_jp^{j-1}-1)!)-\sum_{j\geq 1}((j-1)h_j+v(h_j!)).$$

For $j\geq 3$ and $h_j\neq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
v((h_jp^{j-1}-1)!) &= h_jp^{j-2}-1+v((h_jp^{j-2}-1)!) \\
&\geq h_jp^{j-2}-1+v((h_jp-1)!) \\
&\geq (j-1)h_j+v(h_j!)
\end{aligned}$$

since $p^{j-2}-j+1\geq 1$. So if there exists a $j\geq 3$ such that $h_j\neq 0$, then we have

$$v((\sum_{j\geq 1}h_jp^{j-1}-1)!)\geq v(h_1!)+v((h_2p)!)+\sum_{j\geq 3}v((h_jp^{j-1}-1)!)\geq\sum((j-1)h_j+v(h_j!)).$$

That is, $\beta\geq 0$. Hence $v(\tau_u)\geq m-1\geq s(p-2)-1$ by (3.2).

If for all $j\geq 3$, $h_j=0$, since $m=s(p-1)$, we have

$$n=h_1(p-1)+h_2(p^2-1)=mp+i(p-1)=s(p-1)p+i(p-1).$$

This implies that $h_1+h_2+h_2p=sp+i$. Since $d=h_1+h_2=i-kp$, $h_2p=sp+(i-h_1-h_2)=sp+kp$. Then $h_2=s+k\geq s$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
v(\tau_u) &= v(((h_1p+h_2p^2)-2)!)-(h_1+2h_2+v(h_1!)+v(h_2!) \\
&= h_1+h_2p-1+v((h_1+h_2p-1)!)-(h_1+2h_2+v(h_1!)+v(h_2!)) \\
&\geq h_2(p-2)-1+v((h_2p-1)!)-v(h_2!) \\
&\geq s(p-2)-1
\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Case 2. If there is exactly a part $g\in\mathbf{Z}^+$ such that $g\neq p^\alpha-1$, $u_g=1$, and if $t\neq g$ and $u_t\neq 0$ then $t=p^\alpha-1$. In this case, we have $d=\sum_{j\geq 1}h_j+1$, $n=\sum_{j\geq 1}h_j(p^j-1)+g=(m+i)p-i$, and

$$n+d-2=\sum_{j\geq 1}h_jp^j+g-1=(m+i)p-i+d-2.$$

Then $g=(m+i)p-i+d-1-\sum_{j\geq 1}h_jp^j$.

Also we can check that

$$v(\gamma_u)=\sum_{j\geq 1}(jh_j+v(h_j!))+v(g+1). \tag{3.3}$$

Let $\delta = i+1-d = i - \sum_{j\geq 1} h_j$. Then $\delta \geq 0$ since $d \leq i+1$. We have

$$\delta + g = (i+1-d) + (m+i)p - i + d - 1 - \sum_{j\geq 1} h_j p^j = k'p,$$

where $k' = m+i-\sum h_j p^{j-1}$. Then $\sum_{j\geq 1} h_j p^{j-1} = m+i-k'$. Since $g>0$ and $\delta \geq 0$, we have $k'>0$. Furthermore, if $\delta = 0$, then $g = k'p$.

On the other hand, since $n = (m+i)p - i$,

$$n+d-2 = (m+i)p - (\delta+1) = (m+i-k')p + g - 1. \tag{3.4}$$

Hence by (2.3), (2.4), (3.3), (3.4) and Lemma 2.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} v(\tau_u) &= v(((m+i-k')p+g-1)!) - (\sum_{j\geq 1}(jh_j + v(h_j!)) + v(g+1)) \\ &\geq v(((m+i-k')p)!) + v((g-1)!) - \sum_{j\geq 1}((j-1)h_j + v(h_j!)) - \sum_{j\geq 1} h_j - v(g+1) \\ &\geq m+i-k' - \sum_{j\geq 1} h_j + v((m+i-k')!) + v((g-1)!) - v((\sum_{j\geq 1} h_j p^{j-1})!) - v(g+1) \\ &\geq m+i-k' - \sum_{j\geq 1} h_j + v((g-1)!) - v(g+1) \\ &\geq m-1+\delta' \\ &\geq s(p-2)-1+\delta', \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta' = \delta - k' + 1 + v((g-1)!) - v(g+1)$. Thus it is sufficient to show that $\delta' \geq 0$.

If $\delta - k' \geq 0$, then $\delta' \geq v((g-1)!) - v(g+1) + 1 \geq 0$ by Lemma 2.4.

It remains to consider the case $\delta - k' < 0$. In this case, let $\delta = xp + r$ with $0 \leq r < p$. Then $x = \lfloor \delta/p \rfloor$. Clearly $x < \delta$ unless $\delta = 0 = r = x$, and $x < \delta - 1$ if $\delta \geq 2$ since $p \geq 3$.

Since $0 < g = k'p - \delta = (k'-x)p - r$, we have $k'-x \geq 1$. But

$$g-1 = (k'-x)p - (r+1) = (k'-x-1)p + (p-r-1).$$

So

$$v((g-1)!) = v(((k'-x-1)p)!) = k'-x-1+v((k'-x-1)!).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \delta' &= \delta - k' + 1 + k' - x - 1 + v((k'-x-1)!) - v(g+1) \\ &= \delta - x + v((k'-x-1)!) - v(g+1). \end{aligned}$$

If $p \nmid g+1$, then $\Delta' = \delta - x \geq 0$. Let now $p | g+1$. Since $g+1 = (k'-x)p - (r-1)$, we have $r=1$ and $g+1 = (k'-x)p$. So

$$\delta' = \delta - x + v((k'-x-1)!) - v(k'-x) - 1.$$

Since $r \neq 0$, we have $\delta \neq 0$. So $\delta - x \geq 1$. It remains by Lemma 2.4 to show that $\delta - x = 1$ is impossible. If $\delta - x = 1$, then $\delta = 1$ and $x = 0$, it is sufficient to show that $k'-x \neq p$. But if $k' = p$ then $\delta + g = 1 + g = k'p = p^2$, so $g = p^2-1$, which is impossible since $g \neq p^\alpha - 1$. The proof of Lemma 3.3 is complete. □

Corollary 3.4. *Let $n = (m+i)p - i$ with $m = s(p-1)$. Assume that $w = n$ and $d(u) \leq i+1$. Then $v(\tau_u) \geq s(p-2)-1$.*

Proof. This corollary follows immediately from Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3. □

Let's now recall the critical bounds for $\hat{B}_n/n$.

Lemma 3.5. [5] *Let p be an odd prime. Suppose that $\omega(u) = n$ and $n = (p-1)s_0$ and $u_{p-1} < s_0$. Let $e = v(\gamma_u) - v((pu_{p-1})!)$ and $\dot{n} = n - (p-1)u_{p-1}$. Then $n + d - 2 \geq ((u_{p-1}+e+1)p$ except for the following cases where $(u_{p-1}+e+1)p > n+d-2 \geq (u_{p-1}+e)p$:*

(i). *$p \geq 3, u_{p-1} = s_0 - 1$, and for $1 \leq i \leq \frac{p-3}{2}$, we have $u_i = u_{p-1-i}$, or if $i = \frac{p-1}{2}$, we have $u_i = 2$. In these cases, $\dot{n} = p - 1$ and $e = 0$;*

(ii). *$p = 3, u_2 = s_0 - 4, u_8 = 1$. In this case, $\dot{n} = 8$ and $e = 2$.*

In order to state the universal Kummer congruences modulo odd prime powers, we need to extend the defined numerical function $z(p, n)$ modulo $p^{2+v(n)}$ introduced in [5]. Let $N \geq 0$ be an integer. If $u_{p-1} = n/(p-1)$, then we define $z(p, n) \equiv p^{1+v(n)}\tau_u \pmod{p^{N+2+v(n)}}$.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $n = m + l(p-1)$ and $p^N \mid l$. Suppose that $m = s(p-1)$ and $s \geq \lceil \frac{N+2}{p-2} \rceil$.*

(i). *If $p \geq 5$, then*

$$\frac{\hat{B}_n}{n} \equiv c_{p-1}^{l} \frac{\hat{B}_m}{m} + \Big(\frac{z(p,n)}{p^{1+v(n)}} - \frac{z(p,m)}{p^{1+v(m)}} \Big) c_{p-1}^{l+s} \pmod{p^{N+1}\mathbf{Z}_p[c_1, ..., c_n]}.$$

(ii). *If $p = 3$, then*

$$\frac{\hat{B}_n}{n} \equiv c_2^{l} \frac{\hat{B}_m}{m} + \Big(\frac{z(3,n)}{3^{1+v(n)}} - \frac{z(3,m)}{3^{1+v(m)}} \Big) c_2^{l+s} + \Psi \cdot c_2^{l+s-4} c_8 \pmod{3^{N+1}\mathbf{Z}_3[c_1, ..., c_n]},$$

where $\Psi = 0$ if $s \equiv 1 \pmod 3$, $\Psi = -l$ if $s \equiv 0 \pmod 3$, and $\Psi = l$ if $s \equiv -1 \pmod 3$.

Proof. First consider the terms $\tau_u c^u$ of $\hat{B}_n/n$ where $u_{p-1} \geq l$. Let $u'_{p-1} = u_{p-1} - l := q$ and $u'_i = u_i$ for $i \neq p-1$. Then $w(u') = m$ and $c^{u'} \cdot c_{p-1}^{l} = c^u$. It follows from (2.1) that

$$\frac{\hat{B}_n}{n} - c_{p-1}^{l} \frac{\hat{B}_m}{m} = \sum_{w(u)=n, u_{p-1} \geq l} (\tau_u - \tau_{u'}) c^u + \sum_{w(u)=n, u_{p-1} < l} \tau_u c^u.$$

If $u_{p-1} = n/(p-1) = l + s$, then $u'_{p-1} = s = m/(p-1)$. Hence by the definition we get

$$\tau_u - \tau_{u'} \equiv \frac{z(p,n)}{p^{1+v(n)}} - \frac{z(p,m)}{p^{1+v(m)}} \pmod{p^{N+1}}.$$

Next assume $u_{p-1} < l + s$. Now let $\dot{u}_{p-1} = 0$ and $\dot{u}_i = u_i$ for $i \neq p-1$.

Let $v(\gamma_{\dot{u}}) = e$. Then $\gamma_{\dot{u}} = \varepsilon p^e$ where $p \nmid \varepsilon$. Since $d' = d(u') = d(u) - l$ and $\dot{d} = d(\dot{u}) = d' - q$, we have

$$n + d - 2 = lp + m + d' - 2 = lp + qp + \dot{n} + \dot{d} - 2.$$

Also $\gamma_u = (l+q)!p^{l+q}\gamma_{\dot{u}}$ and $\gamma_{u'} = q!p^q\gamma_{\dot{u}}$.

If $e = 0$, then $(\gamma_{\dot{u}}, p) = 1$. So applying Lemma 2.5(ii) with $a = \dot{n} + \dot{d} - 2$ gives us

$$\tau_u \equiv \tau_{u'} \pmod{p^{N+1}}.$$

If $e > 0$, then by Lemma 3.5 we have $\dot{n} + \dot{d} - 2 \geq (e+1)p$ with the exception of case (ii) where $\dot{n} = 8$, $e = 2$, and $u_2 = l + s - 4$, $u_8 = 1$. Hence by Lemma 2.5 (iv), $\tau_u \equiv \tau_{u'} \pmod{p^{N+1}}$ without the exceptional case.

We now turn to the exceptional term, which occur if and only if $p = 3$, $u_2 = l + s - 4$, $u_8 = 1$. In this case, $d = l + s - 4 + 1 = l + s - 3$, $d' = s - 3$. Then

$$n + d - 2 = 2(l+s) + l + s - 3 - 2 = 3(l+s) - 5,$$

and

$$n' + d' - 2 = m + d' - 2 = 3s - 5.$$

Also we have

$$\gamma_u = 3^{l+s-4} \cdot 9 \cdot (l+s-4)! = 3^{l+s-2}(l+s-4)!$$

and

$$\gamma_{u'} = 3^{s-4} \cdot 9 \cdot (s-4)! = 3^{s-2}(s-4)!.$$

Hence by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.5 (ii)

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_u &= (-1)^{d-1}\frac{(3(l+s)-5)!}{3^{l+s-2}(l+s-4)!}\\ &= (-1)^{d-1}\frac{(3(l+s)-5)!}{3^{l+s-2}(l+s-2)!}(l+s-3)(l+s-2)\\ &= (-1)^{d-1}\frac{(3(l+s)-5)!}{3^{l+s-2}(l+s-2)!}(l^2+l(2s-5)+(s-2)(s-3))\\ &\equiv (-1)^{d-1}(-1)^l\frac{(3s-5)!}{3^{s-2}(s-2)!}l(2s-5) + (-1)^{d-1}(-1)^l\frac{(3s-5)!}{3^{s-2}(s-2)!}(s-2)(s-3)\\ &\equiv (-1)^{s-4}l \cdot \frac{(3s-5)!}{3^{s-2}(s-2)!}(2s-5) + \tau_{u'} \pmod{3^{N+1}}.\end{aligned}$$

But by Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{(3s-5)!}{3^{s-2}(s-2)!}(2s-5) &= \frac{(3s-6)!}{3^{s-2}(s-2)!}(3s-5)(2s-5)\\ &\equiv (-1)^{s-2}(-1)(2s-2) \pmod 3.\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\tau_u \equiv (-1)^{s-4+s-2+1}(2s-2)l + \tau_{u'} = (-1)l(2s-2) + \tau_{u'} \pmod{3^{N+1}}.$$

Thus $\tau_u \equiv \tau'_u \pmod{3^{N+1}}$ if $s \equiv 1 \pmod 3$, $\tau_u \equiv \tau'_u - l \pmod{3^{N+1}}$ if $s \equiv 0 \pmod 3$ and $\tau_u \equiv \tau_{u'} + l \pmod{3^{N+1}}$ if $s \equiv -1 \pmod 3$.

We now treat with the terms where $u_{p-1} < l$. In what follows we assume that $u_{p-1} = l - x$ with $x \geq 1$. To finish the proof, it is sufficient to show that if $w(u) = n$ and $u_{p-1} < l$ then $\tau_u \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{N+1}}$ if $s \geq \lceil \frac{N+2}{p-2} \rceil$, with the single exception where $p = 3$ and u is given by $u_{p-1} = l + s - 4$, $u_8 = 1$.

If $n+d-2 \geq lp$, then there exists an integer k such that $(l+k)p \leq n+d-2 < (l+k+1)p$. With our usual notation, $\dot{n} = n - (l-x)(p-1) = m + x(p-1)$. Let $e = v(\gamma_{\dot{u}})$. Since $u_{p-1} = l - x < l$, we have

$$n + d - 2 \geq (l - x + e + 1)p \tag{3.5}$$

with the only exceptional case (ii) of Lemma 3.5 which was previously considered.

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}v(\tau_u) &= v((n+d-2)!) - (l-x) - v((l-x)!) - e\\ &\geq v(((l+k)p)!) - (l-x) - v((l-x)!) - e\\ &= (l+k) + v((l+k)!) - (l-x) - v((l-x)!) - e\\ &\geq k + x + v(l) - e.\end{aligned}$$

Suppose now that $v(\tau_u) < v(lp)$. Then $e > k + x - 1$, i.e., $e \geq k + x$. But by (3.5) we have

$$n + d - 2 \geq (l - x + e + 1)p \geq (l - x + k + x + 1)p = (l + k + 1)p$$

which contradicts to $(l+k+1)p > n+d-2$. Thus in this case we infer that $v(\tau_u) \geq v(lp) \geq N+1$.

Now it remains to consider the case $n+d-2 < lp$. At this case, we have $n+d = m+l(p-1)+d < lp+2$, i.e., $m+d \leq l+1$. Since $u_{p-1} = l-x < d \leq l+1-m$, $x \geq m$. One may let $x = m+i$ with $i \geq 0$. Then

$$w(\dot{u}) = n - u_{p-1}(p-1) = m + x(p-1) = (m+i)p - i$$

and

$$d(\dot{u}) = d - (l-x) \leq x+1-m = i+1.$$

But $u_{p-1} = l-x$. So $n+d-2 \geq (l-x)p+\dot{n}+\dot{d}-2$ and $v(\tau_u) \geq v(\tau_{\dot{u}})$. Since $s \geq \lceil \frac{N+2}{p-2} \rceil$, then by assumption and replacing n and u by $\dot{n} = (m+i)p-i$ and $\dot{u}$, respectively, Corollary 3.4 gives $\tau_u \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{N+1}}$.

The proof of Theorem 3.6 is complete. □

4. Universal Kummer congruences for the powers of 2

In the present section, we consider the universal Kummer congruences modulo powers of 2. First we show several congruences modulo powers of 2 about double factorials.

Lemma 4.1. [5] (i). *If k is odd, then* $(2k-1)!! \equiv (-1)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \pmod 4$.

(ii). *If $k \geq 1$, then* $(4k-3)!! \equiv (-1)^{k-1} \pmod{16}$.

(iii). *If $k \geq 1$ and $N \geq 3$, then* $(k2^N-3)!! \equiv -1 \pmod{2^{N+1}}$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $k \geq 1$, $N \geq 3$ and $a \geq 2$ be integers. Then*

(i). $$\frac{(k2^N+2a-3)!!}{(k2^N+1)!!} \equiv \begin{cases} (2a-3)!! \pmod{2^{N+1+\min\{v(a),\,N-1\}}}, & \text{if } 2 \mid a, \\ (2a-3)!! + k2^N \pmod{2^{N+1}}, & \text{if } 2 \nmid a. \end{cases}$$

(ii). $$(k2^N+2a-3)!! \equiv \begin{cases} (2a-3)!! \pmod{2^{N+1}}, & \text{if } 2 \mid a, \\ (2a-3)!! + k2^N \pmod{2^{N+1}}, & \text{if } 2 \nmid a. \end{cases}$$

(iii). *If k is odd, we have*

$$(k2^N-3)!! \equiv \begin{cases} -1 + (-1)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \cdot 2^{N+1} \pmod{2^{N+3}}, & \text{if } N = 3, \\ -1 + (-1)^{\frac{k+1}{2}} \cdot 2^{N+1} \pmod{2^{N+3}}, & \text{if } N \geq 4. \end{cases}$$

In particular, we have $(k2^N-3)!! \equiv -1 + 2^{N+1} \pmod{2^{N+2}}$.

Proof. (i). First we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(k2^N+2a-3)!!}{(k2^N+1)!!} &= (k2^N+3)\cdot(k2^N+5)...(k2^N+2a-3) \\ &= (2a-3)!! + k2^N \cdot \sum_{j \in S} \frac{(2a-3)!!}{j} + x \cdot (k2^N)^2 \\ &\equiv (2a-3)!! + k2^N \cdot \sum_{j \in S} \frac{(2a-3)!!}{j} \pmod{2^{2N}}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where $x \in \mathbf{N}$ and $S = \{3, 5, ..., 2a-3\}$. If $j \in S$, then $2a-j \in S$. Clearly $j \neq 2a-j$ except that $j = a \in S$. If $2|a$, then $a \notin S$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \in S} \frac{(2a-3)!!}{j} &= \sum_{j \in S, j<a} \left(\frac{(2a-3)!!}{2a-j} + \frac{(2a-3)!!}{j} \right) \\ &= 2a \sum_{j \in S, j<a} \frac{(2a-3)!!}{j(2a-j)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $v(k2^N \cdot \sum_{j\in S} \frac{(2a-3)!!}{j}) \geq N+1+v(a)$. Hence by (4.1), Lemma 4.2 (i) is true for the case $2|a$.

Now we consider the case $2\nmid a$, then $a\in S$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j\in S}\frac{(2a-3)!!}{j} &= \sum_{j\in S, j<a}\left(\frac{(2a-3)!!}{2a-j}+\frac{(2a-3)!!}{j}\right)+\frac{(2a-3)!!}{a}\\ &= 2a\sum_{j\in S,j<a}\frac{(2a-3)!!}{j(2a-j)}+\frac{(2a-3)!!}{a}\end{aligned}$$

Then $v(\sum_{j\in S}\frac{(2a-3)!!}{j})=0$ since $v(\frac{(2a-3)!!}{a})=0$. Thus by (4.1) we infer that Lemma 4.2 (i) holds for the case $2\nmid a$. Part (i) is proved.

(ii). Since $N\geq 3$, $2N\geq N+1$. Then by Lemma 4.1 (iii)

$$\begin{aligned}(k\cdot 2^N+1)!! &= (k\cdot 2^N-3)!!\cdot(k\cdot 2^N-1)\cdot(k\cdot 2^N+1)\\ &\equiv -(k\cdot 2^N-3)!!\\ &\equiv 1 \pmod{2^{N+1}}.\end{aligned}$$

So the required result follows immediately from part (i).

(iii). If $k\equiv 1 \pmod 4$, then by part (ii)

$$(k2^N-3)!! = (t_1\cdot 2^{N+2}+2^N-3)!! \equiv (2^N-3)!! \pmod{2^{N+3}}, \tag{4.2}$$

where $t_1\geq 0$ is an integer. If $k\equiv 3\pmod 4$, then by part (ii)

$$(k2^N-3)!! = (t_2\cdot 2^{N+2}+3\cdot 2^N-3)!! \equiv (3\cdot 2^N-3)!! \pmod{2^{N+3}}, \tag{4.3}$$

where $t_2\geq 0$ is an integer. By part (i) we have

$$\begin{aligned}(3\cdot 2^N-3)!! &\equiv \frac{(2^{N+1}+2^N-3)!!}{(2^{N+1}+1)!!}\cdot(-1)\cdot\frac{(2^N+2^N-3)!!}{(2^N+1)!!}\cdot(-1)\cdot(2^N-3)!!\\ &\equiv ((2^N-3)!!)^3 \pmod{2^{2N}}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Since $N\geq 3$, $2N\geq N+3$. Then by (4.3) and (4.4) we get

$$(k2^N-3)!! \equiv ((2^N-3)!!)^3 \pmod{2^{N+3}}. \tag{4.5}$$

First consider the case $N=3$. Clearly $(2^3-3)!!\equiv 15 \pmod{2^6}$. Hence by (4.2) we have $(k\cdot 2^3-3)!!\equiv 15 = -1+(-1)^{\frac{k-1}{2}}\cdot 2^4 \pmod{2^6}$ if $k\equiv 1\pmod 4$. By (4.5), $(k\cdot 2^3-3)!!\equiv 15^3\equiv -17 = -1+(-1)^{\frac{k-1}{2}}\cdot 2^4 \pmod{2^6}$ if $k\equiv 3 \pmod 4$. Part (iii) is proved for the $N=3$ case. In what follows we deal with the $N\geq 4$ case.

Claim that $(2^N-3)!!\equiv -1-2^{N+1} \pmod{2^{N+3}}$ for $N\geq 4$. We use inductions on N. Evidently $(2^4-3)!!\equiv -1-2^5 \pmod{2^7}$ if $N=4$. Assume that $(2^N-3)!!\equiv -1-2^{N+1} \pmod{2^{N+3}}$ for some $N\geq 4$. Since $N\geq 4$, $2N\geq N+4$. Then by part (i) and induction hypothesis, we get

$$\begin{aligned}(2^{N+1}-3)!! &\equiv \frac{(2^N+2^N-3)!!}{(2^N+1)!!}\cdot(-1)\cdot(2^N-3)!!\\ &\equiv -((2^N-3)!!)^2\\ &\equiv -1-2^{N+2} \pmod{2^{N+4}}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence the claim is true. So the desired result follows immediately from the claim and (4.2), (4.5). Part (iii) is proved. □

Lemma 4.3. *Let* $a \geq 0$ *and* $i \geq 1$ *be integers. Define* $f_a(i,j) := \frac{(a+1)...(a+2i)}{a+j}$ *for* $j \in \{1, ..., 2i\}$. *Then*

$$v(\sum_{j=1}^{2i} f_a(i,j)) \geq \begin{cases} i-1, & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq 3 \\ i, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{4.6}$$

Proof. First we have $v(f_a(1,1) + f_a(1,2)) = v(2a+3) = 0$. So Lemma 4.3 is true for $i = 1$. For $i = 2$, we can easily check that $\sum_{j=1}^{4} f_a(2,j) \equiv 2a(a+1) + 2 \equiv 2 \pmod 4$. Hence $v(\sum_{j=1}^{4} f_a(2,j)) = 1$ as required. Lemma 4.3 is true when $i = 2$. For $i = 3$, by the fact that 8 divides the product of any four consecutive integers, we get $8|f_a(3,j)$ for $j = 1,2,5,6$. On the other hand, we have $f_a(3,3) \equiv a^3(a+1)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod 4$ and $f_a(3,4) \equiv a^2(a+1)^2(a+3) \equiv 0 \pmod 4$. It follows that

$$v(\sum_{j=1}^{6} f_a(3,j)) \geq \min_{1 \leq j \leq 6} v(f_{(}3,j)) \geq 2,$$

as required.

Now let $i \geq 4$. We may let $a = 2b + b'$, where $b \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $b' = 0$ or 1. Then we get

$$v(f_a(i,j)) = v\Big(\frac{(2b+2)...(2b+2i)}{2b+j+b'}\Big) = i + v\Big(\frac{(b+1)...(b+i)}{2b+j+b'}\Big). \tag{4.7}$$

If $2 \nmid (b'+j)$, we have $v\Big(\frac{(b+1)...(b+i)}{2b+j+b'}\Big) \geq 3$. If $2|(b'+j)$, then $b + (j+b')/2 \in \{(b+1), ..., (b+i)\}$. Thus we have $v\Big(\frac{(b+1)...(b+i)}{2(b+(j+b')/2)}\Big) \geq 0$ since $i \geq 4$. Hence by (4.7), we have $v(f_a(i,j)) \geq i$ for all $1 \leq j \leq 2i$. Therefore

$$v(\sum_{j=1}^{2i} f_a(i,j)) \geq \min_{1 \leq j \leq 2i}(v(f_a(i,j))) \geq i.$$

The proof of the lemma 4.3 is complete. □

Remark. In fact, we infer that $v\Big(\frac{(b+1)...(b+i)}{2b+j+b'}\Big) \geq 1$ for $i \geq 6$ and $v\Big(\frac{(b+1)...(b+i)}{2b+j+b'}\Big) \geq 3$ for $i \geq 8$. Then $v(f_a(i,j)) \geq i+1$ for $i \geq 6$ and $v(f_a(i,j)) \geq i+3$ for $i \geq 8$ by (4.7).

Lemma 4.4. *Let* $q, r, a \in \mathbf{N}$, $e \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ *and* $v(l) = N$. *Define* $\delta_r = l$ *for* $r = 1,2$ *and* $\delta_r = 0$ *for* $r \neq 1,2$. *Then*

(i). $\frac{(l+q+2r)!}{(l+q)!r!} \equiv \frac{(q+2r)!}{q!r!} + \delta_r \pmod{2^{N+1}}$.

(ii). *If* $a = 0$ *or* 1, $\frac{((2(l+q+2r)+a)!}{2^{l+q+2r}(l+q)!r!} \equiv \frac{(2(q+2r)+a)!}{2^{q+2r}q!r!} + \delta_r \pmod{2^{N+1}}$.

(iii). *If* $a \geq 2e$, $\frac{((2(l+q+2r)+a)!}{2^{l+q+2r}(l+q)!r!} \equiv \frac{(2(q+2r)+a)!}{2^{q+2r}q!r!} \pmod{2^{N+e}}$.

(iv). *If* $a \geq 2(e+1)$, $\frac{((2(l+q+2r)+a)!}{2^{l+q+2r+e}(l+q)!r!} \equiv \frac{(2(q+2r)+a)!}{2^{q+2r+e}q!r!} \pmod{2^{N+1}}$.

Proof. (i). For $r = 0$, the congruence is trivial. Now let $r > 0$. If $r \geq N+1$, we have

$$v\Big(\frac{(l+q+2r)!}{(l+q)!r!}\Big) \geq v((2r)!) - v(r!) = r \geq N+1$$

since $v((l+q+2r)!) \geq v((l+q)!) + v((2r)!)$ and $v((2r)!) = r + v(r!)$. Similarly we have $v(\frac{(q+2r)!}{q!r!}) \geq N+1$. Then $\frac{(l+q+2r)!}{(l+q)!r!} \equiv \frac{(q+2r)!}{q!r!} \equiv 0 (\text{mod } 2^{N+1})$ as required. If $r \leq N$, then $v(r!) \leq r-1 \leq N-1$. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(l+q+2r)!}{(l+q)!r!} &= \frac{(l+q+1)...(l+q+2r)}{r!} \\ &\equiv \frac{(q+1)...(q+2r)}{r!} + \frac{l}{r!}\sum_{j=1}^{2r}\frac{(q+1)...(q+2r)}{q+j} \\ &\equiv \frac{(q+2r)!}{q!r!} + \frac{l}{r!}\sum_{j=1}^{2r} f_q(r,j) \pmod{2^{N+1}}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

where $f_a(i,j)$ is defined as in Lemma 4.3. From (4.8) Lemma 4.3 we deduce that if $r = 1, 2$, then

$$\frac{(l+q+2r)!}{(l+q)!r!} \equiv \frac{(q+2r)!}{q!r!} + l \bmod 2^{N+1},$$

and if $r \geq 3$, then

$$\frac{(l+q+2r)!}{(l+q)!r!} \equiv \frac{(q+2r)!}{q!r!} \bmod 2^{N+1}.$$

So part (i) is proved.

(ii). Since $v(2l) = N+1$, we have

$$(2l+2q+4r\pm 1)!! \equiv (2q+4r\pm 1)!! \bmod 2^{N+1} \tag{4.9}$$

by lemma 4.2 (ii). Then multiplying congruence (i) by (4.9) and noting that $(2q+4r\pm 1)!! \equiv 1 \pmod 2$ the desired result follows.

(iii). To deduce part (iii), let $S = \{2, 4, ..., 2e\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{x=1}^{a}(2(l+q+2r)+x) &= \prod_{i=1}^{e}2(l+q+2r+i)\prod_{x\notin S, x=1}^{a}(2(l+q+2r)+x) \\ &= 2^e\prod_{i=1}^{e}(l+q+2r+i)\prod_{x\notin S, x=1}^{a}(2l+2q+4r+x) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using congruence (ii) $\pmod{2^N}$ for $a = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{((2(l+q+2r)+a)!}{2^{l+q+2r}(l+q)!r!} &= \left(\prod_{x=1}^{a}(2(l+q+2r)+x)\right)\frac{((2(l+q+2r))!}{2^{l+q+2r}(l+q)!r!} \\ &\equiv 2^e\left(\prod_{i=1}^{e}(q+2r+i)\right)\left(\prod_{x\notin S, x=1}^{a}(2q+4r+x\right)\frac{(2(q+2r))!}{2^{q+2r}q!r!} \\ &\equiv \frac{(2(2+2r)+a)!}{2^{q+2r}q!r!} \pmod{2^{N+e}}. \end{aligned}$$

(iv). To deduce part (iv), use the congruence (iii) with e replaced by $e+1$ and then divide by 2^e. Lemma 4.4 is proved. □

Lemma 4.5. *Let* $n = l+m$, $N \geq 3$ *and* $l = k2^N$, $k \in \mathbf{Z}^+, 2 \nmid k$. *Let* $g(a) := (-1)^{(a-1)}\frac{(2a-3)!!}{2a}$, *and* $a \in \mathbf{Z}^+$. *Then*

$$g(n) \equiv g(m) + \begin{cases} (-1)^{\frac{m-1}{2}}\frac{l}{2} & \pmod{2^{N+1}}, \quad if\ 2\nmid m, \\ l + \frac{l}{2mn} & \pmod{2^{N+1}}, \quad if\ 2|m, 4\nmid m, \\ l - \frac{l}{2mn} & \pmod{2^{N+1}}, \quad if\ 4|m, 8\nmid m. \end{cases}$$

Proof. If $2 \nmid m$, then applying Lemma 4.2(ii), we obtain $(2n-3)!! = (2l+2m-3)!! \equiv (2m-3)!! + 2l \pmod{2^{N+2}}$. By Lemma 4.1 (i), $(2m-3)!! = (2m-3)(2(m-2)-1)!! \equiv (-1)^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \pmod 4$. Since $2 \nmid m$ and $n = l+m$, $\frac{1}{mn} = \frac{1}{m^2+ml} \in 1 + 4\mathbf{Z}_2$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} g(n) - g(m) &\equiv (2m-3)!!(-\frac{l}{2mn}) + \frac{l}{n} \\ &\equiv (-1)^{\frac{m-1}{2}}(-\frac{l}{2}) + l \\ &\equiv (-1)^{\frac{m-1}{2}}\frac{l}{2} \pmod{2^{N+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

If $2|m$, then by Lemma 4.2 (i),

$$(2n-3)!! = (2l+2m-3)!! \equiv -(2l-3)!!(2m-3)!! \pmod{2^{N+2+\min\{v(m),N\}}}$$

Thus for $v(m) < N$, we have

$$g(n) - g(m) \equiv \left(\frac{(2l-3)!!}{2(l+m)} + \frac{1}{2m}\right)(2m-3)!! \pmod{2^{N+1}}. \tag{4.10}$$

If $2|m$ and $4 \nmid m$, then $(2m-3)!! \equiv 1 \pmod{16}$ by Lemma 4.1(ii). Thus applying (4.10) and Lemma 4.2 (iii) gives us

$$\begin{aligned} g(n) - g(m) &\equiv \left(\frac{-1+2^{N+2}}{2(l+m)} + \frac{1}{2m}\right)(2m-3)!! \\ &\equiv \left(\frac{2^N}{\frac{l}{2}+\frac{m}{2}} + \frac{l}{2}\cdot\frac{1}{m(m+l)}\right) \pmod{2^{N+1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Since $\frac{l}{2} + \frac{m}{2} \in 1 + 2\mathbf{Z}_2$, $g(n) - g(m) \equiv l + \frac{l}{2mn} \pmod{2^{N+1}}$ by (4.11).

If $4|m$ and $8 \nmid m$, then we may assume $m = 4\lambda$ with $2 \nmid \lambda$. Hence by Lemma 4.2 (iii),

$$(2m-3)!! \equiv -1 + (-1)^{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}} \cdot 2^4 \equiv -1 + 4m \pmod{2^6}. \tag{4.12}$$

Also by Lemma 4.2 (iii) and noting that $N \geq 3$, we have

$$(2l-3)!! = (k\cdot 2^{N+1} - 3)!! \equiv -1 + (-1)^{\frac{k+1}{2}} \cdot 2^{N+2} \pmod{2^{N+4}}. \tag{4.13}$$

Thus using (4.10), (4.12) and (4.13), we get

$$\begin{aligned} g(n) - g(m) &\equiv \left(\frac{-1+(-1)^{\frac{k+1}{2}}\cdot 2^{N+2}}{2(l+m)} + \frac{1}{2m}\right)(2m-3)!! \\ &\equiv \left(\frac{(-1)^{\frac{k+1}{2}}2^{N+1}}{l+m} + \frac{l}{2m(l+m)}\right)(-1+4m) \\ &\equiv \frac{(-1)^{\frac{k-1}{2}}2^{N+1} + 2l}{l+m} - \frac{l}{2mn} \\ &\equiv l - \frac{l}{2mn} \pmod{2^{N+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Lemma 4.5 is complete. □

In the rest of this paper, we always let $e := v(\gamma_u) - v((2u_1)!) - (2u_3 + v(u_3!)$ and define $\dot{u}$ by: $\dot{u}_1 = \dot{u}_3 = 0$ and $\dot{u}_i = u_i$ for $i \neq 1, 3$. Let $\dot{n} = w(\dot{u})$. Then $\dot{n} = n - u_1 - 3u_3$ and $v(\gamma_{\dot{u}}) = e$.

Lemma 4.6. *Suppose* $w(u) = n$. *Then* $n + d - 2 = 2(u_1 + 2u_3 + e) + \Gamma$, *where* (i). $\Gamma = -2$ *if* $\dot{n} = 0$, (ii). $\Gamma = 0$ *if* $\dot{n} = 7 \cdot 2^\alpha$ *and* $u_7 = 2^\alpha$, $\alpha \in \mathbf{N}$, (iii). $\Gamma = 1$ *if* $\dot{n} = 2$ *and* (iv). $\Gamma \geq 2$ *otherwise.*

Proof. If $\dot{n} = 0$ i.e., $n = u_1 + 3u_3$, we have $e = 0$ and $n + d - 2 = 2u_1 + 4u_3 - 2$. Then $\Gamma = -2$.

Now we assume that $\dot{n} > 0$. Let $\dot{u}_1 = \dot{u}_3 = 0$ and $\dot{u}_i = u_i (i \neq 1, 3)$. Then $w(\dot{u}) = \dot{n}, v(\gamma_{\dot{u}}) = e$ and $n + d - 2 = 2u_1 + 4u_3 + \dot{n} + \dot{d} - 2$. So replacing u by $\dot{u}$, in what follows we can assume that $u_1 = u_3 = 0$. Note that $n + d = \sum_{i=1}^n (i+1)u_i$ and $e = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i$, where

$$e_i := v(i+1)u_i + v(u_i!).$$

It follows immediately that $e_i > 0$ if and only if either $2|(i+1)$ or $u_i \geq 2$.

First consider case (ii): $\dot{n} = 7 \cdot 2^\alpha$ and $u_7 = 2^\alpha$. Then $e_i = 3 \cdot 2^\alpha + v(2^\alpha!) = 4 \cdot 2^\alpha - 1$. Hence we have $(i+1)u_i = 8u_7 = 2(e_7 + 1)$. Since $n + d - 2 = 8u_7 - 2$ and $2(u_1 + 2u_3 + e) = 2e_7$, we have $\Gamma = 0$.

For case (iii): $\dot{n} = 2$, $i = 2, u_2 = 1$. Clearly $e_i = 0$. Since $u_1 = u_3 = e = 0$, we have $n + d - 2 = (i+1)u_i - 2 = 1 = 2(u_1 + 2u_3 + e) + 1$. Hence $\Gamma = 1$.

In what follows we deal with case (iv). Claim that

$$(i+1)u_i \geq 2(e_i + 1) + 2 = 2(v(i+1)u_i + v(u_i!) + 1) + 2, \tag{4.14}$$

for all cases where $i \neq 1, 3$ apart from cases (ii) and (iii).

If $2 \nmid (i+1)$ and $u_i \geq 2$, then $e_i = v(u_i!) \leq u_i - 1$. We can deduce that

$$(i+1)u_i - 2 \geq 3u_i - 2 \geq 2u_i \geq 2(e_i + 1).$$

If $2|(i+1)$, we may let $i + 1 = \varepsilon 2^t$ for some $t \in \mathbf{N}$ with $2 \nmid \varepsilon$. Then $e_i = tu_i + v(u_i!)$, $(i+1)u_i - 2 = \varepsilon 2^t u_i - 2$ and $2(e_i + 1) = 2(tu_i + v((u_i)!) + 1) \leq 2(t+1)u_i$.

For $t \geq 4$, we have $2^t > 2(t+2)$. It implies that

$$(i+1)u_i - 2 \geq 2^t u_i - 2 \geq 2(t+1)u_i \geq 2(e_i + 1).$$

For $t = 3$, we have $i + 1 = 2^3\varepsilon$. If $\varepsilon \geq 3$, then $(i+1)u_i - 2 \geq 3 \cdot 2^3 u_i - 2 \geq 2(3+1)u_i \geq 2(e_i + 1)$. If $\varepsilon = 1$, then $(i+1)u_i - 2 = 2^3 u_i - 2 = 8u_i - 2$ and $e_i = 3u_i + v(u_i!) = 3u_i + u_i - s_2(u_i)$. Therefore $(i+1)u_i - 2 \geq 2(e_i + 1)$ if $s_2(u_i) \geq 2$. But if $s_2(u_i) = 1$, we have $i = 7, u_i = 2^\alpha$, which is the case (ii).

For $t = 1, 2$, we have $i + 1 = 2\varepsilon$ or $2^2\varepsilon$. Since $i \neq 1, 3$, we have $\varepsilon \geq 3$. Then

$$(i+1)u_i - 2 \geq 3 \cdot 2^t u_i - 2 \geq 2(t+1)u_i.$$

Hence the claim (4.14) holds as specified.

Finally, if $u_i \geq 1$, then $(i+1)u_i \geq 2$, while if $(i+1)u_i \geq 2(e_i + 1)$ and $(j+1)u_j \geq 2(e_j + 1)$, then

$$(i+1)u_i + (j+1)u_j - 2 \geq 2(e_i + e_j + 1).$$

So we have $n + d - 2 \geq 2(e + 1)$ for case (iv), which follows by adding the "local" inequalities for each part i separately. □

Lemma 4.7. *Let* $w(u) = n$. *Assume that* $\dot{n} > 0$. *Then* $v(\tau_u) \geq u_3 + \lceil \frac{1}{2}\dot{n} \rceil - 1$ *except for* $\dot{n} = 7u_7$ *where* $v(\tau_u) \geq u_3 + \lceil \frac{1}{2}\dot{n} \rceil - 3$.

Proof. Let $\dot{u}_1 = \dot{u}_3 = 0$ and $\dot{u}_i = u_i (i \neq 1, 3)$. Then $w(\dot{u}) = \dot{n}$ and $n + d - 2 = 2u_1 + 4u_3 + \dot{n} + \dot{d} - 2$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} v(\tau_u) &= v((n+d-2)!) - v(\gamma_u) \\ &= v((2u_1 + 4u_3 + \dot{n} + \dot{d} - 2)!) - (u_1 + v(u_1!) + 2u_3 + v(u_3!)) - v(\gamma_{\dot{u}}) \\ &\geq v((4u_3)!) + v((\dot{n} + \dot{d} - 2)!) - 2u_3 - v(u_3!) - v(\gamma_{\dot{u}}) \\ &= u_3 + v((\dot{n} + \dot{d} - 2)!) - v(\gamma_{\dot{u}}). \end{aligned}$$

Noticing that $v(\gamma_{\dot{u}}) = e = \sum e_i$, it suffices to prove that $v((\dot{n} + \dot{d} - 2)!) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i \geq \lceil \frac{1}{2}\dot{n} \rceil - 1$. We claim that

$$v\big((i+1)u_i - 2)!\big) - e_i \geq \left\lceil \frac{1}{2} i u_i \right\rceil - 1 \tag{4.15}$$

for $u_i \geq 1$ and $i \neq 1, 3, 7$.

If $2 \nmid (i+1)$, then $e_i = v(i+1)u_i + v(u_i!) = v(u_i!)$ and $\left\lceil \frac{1}{2} i u_i \right\rceil = \frac{i}{2} u_i$. Thus we get $v((i+1)u_i - 2) \geq v(u_i!) + v((iu_i - 2)!) \geq v(u_i!) + \frac{i}{2}u_i - 1 \geq e_i + \left\lceil \frac{1}{2} i u_i \right\rceil - 1$, as claimed.

If $2 | (i+1)$, we may let $i + 1 = \delta 2^t$ where $t \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ and $2 \nmid \delta$. Then $e_i = tu_i + v(u_i!)$, and $\frac{i+1}{2} u_i = \delta 2^{t-1} u_i \geq \left\lceil \frac{1}{2} i u_i \right\rceil$. Therefore we gain

$$\begin{aligned} v\big(((i+1)u_i - 2)!\big) &= v\big((2(\delta 2^{t-1} u_i - 1))!\big) \\ &= \delta 2^{t-1} u_i - 1 + v((\delta 2^{t-1} u_i - 1)!) \\ &\geq \left\lceil \frac{1}{2} i u_i \right\rceil - 1 + v((\delta 2^{t-1} u_i - 1)!). \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

Let $\delta \geq 3$. Then we have

$$v((\delta 2^{t-1} u_i - 1)!) \geq v((3 \cdot 2^{t-1} u_i - 1)!) \geq v((2tu_i)!) \geq tu_i + v((tu_i)!) \geq e_i$$

since $3 \cdot 2^{t-1} u_i - 1 \geq 2tu_i$ for $t \geq 1$ and $u_i \geq 1$. So the claim holds for this case by (4.16).

Now let $\delta = 1$, i.e., $i + 1 = 2^t$. Since $i \neq 1, 3, 7$, $t \geq 4$. Then by lemma 2.1 we have

$$v((2^{t-1} u_i - 1)!) = 3 \cdot 2^{t-3} u_i - 2 + v((2^{t-3} u_i - 1)!) \geq tu_i + v(u_i!) \geq e_i$$

since $3 \cdot 2^{t-3} u_i - 2 \geq tu_i$ and $2^{t-3} u_i - 1 \geq u_i$ for $t \geq 4$ and $u_i \geq 1$. So the claim holds for this case by (4.16).

On the other hand, if $i \neq 1, 3, 7$, we have $v\big(((i+1)u_i)!\big) \geq v\big(((i+1)u_i - 2)!)\big) + 1 \geq e_i + \left\lceil \frac{1}{2} i u_i \right\rceil$ by claim (4.15). If $i = 7$, by lemma 2.1 we have $v(8u_i)!) = 7u_i + v(u_i!) \geq e_7 + \left\lceil \frac{7}{2} u_7 \right\rceil$. Then for $i \neq 1, 3$,

$$v((i+1)u_i)!) \geq e_i + \left\lceil \frac{1}{2} i u_i \right\rceil. \tag{4.17}$$

Moreover, if $u_j \geq 1$ for some $j \neq 7$, then by (4.15) and (4.17) we have

$$\begin{aligned} v\big((\dot{n}+\dot{d}-2)!\big) &= v\big((\sum_{i=1}^{n}(i+1)u_i-2)!\big) \\ &= v\big((\sum_{i=1, i\neq j}^{n}(i+1)u_i+(j+1)u_j-2)!)\big) \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i + \lceil \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} iu_i}{2}\rceil - 1, \end{aligned}$$

as required. Finally if $\dot{n}=7u_7$, we can compute $v(\tau_u)\geq u_3+v((8u_7-2)!)-e_7\geq u_3+4u_7-3-v(u_7)$. We can easily show that $4u_7-v(u_7)\geq\lceil\frac{7u_7}{2}\rceil=\lceil\frac{1}{2}\dot{n}\rceil$. Then $v(\tau_u)\geq u_3+\lceil\frac{1}{2}\dot{n}\rceil-3$. Lemma 4.7 is proved. □

We are now in a position to give the universal Kummer congruences modulo powers of 2 which consists of Theorems 4.8 and 4.9. The proof of Theorem 4.9 is slightly complicated.

Theorem 4.8. *Let* $p=2$ *and* $2\mid n$.

(i) *If* $v(n)=1$, *then*

$$\frac{\widehat{B}_n}{n}\equiv-\frac{1}{2n}c_1^n+(\frac{n}{2}-1)c_1^{n-3}c_3+\frac{3(n-4)}{4}c_1^{n-6}c_3^2-c_1^{n-2}c_2+2c_1^{n-5}(c_2c_3+c_1c_4) \pmod{4\mathbf{Z}_2[c_1,...,c_n]}.$$

(ii) *If* $v(n)\geq 2$, *then*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\widehat{B}_n}{n}\equiv&(\frac{1}{2n}-2)c_1^n-3\frac{n-2}{2}c_1^{n-3}c_3+\frac{n-4}{4}c_1^{n-6}c_3^2+\frac{n-8}{4}c_1^{n-12}c_3^4 \\ &-3c_1^{n-2}c_2+2c_1^{n-4}c_4+4c_1^{n-4}c_2^2+(n-4)c_1^{n-8}c_2c_3(c_3+c_1^3) \pmod{8\mathbf{Z}_2[c_1,...,c_n]}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.7, and noting that $\dot{n}=\sum_{i\neq1,3}iu_i$, we have only to consider the following cases:

(1). $\dot{n}=7$, $u_7=1$, $u_3\leq 1$. Then $u_1=n-7-3u_3$.

(2). $\dot{n}=6$, $u_1=n-6$. In this case, we have $u_2=3$, or $u_2=u_4=1$, or $u_6=1$.

(3). $\dot{n}=5$, $u_5=1$ and $u_1=n-5$.

(4). $\dot{n}=4$, $u_1=n-4-3u_3$ and $u_3\leq 1$. In this case, either $u_2=2$ or $u_4=1$.

(5). $\dot{n}=2$, $u_1=n-2-3u_3$, $u_2=1$ and $u_3\leq 2$.

(6). $\dot{n}=0$, $u_3\leq 4$. In fact, if $u_3\geq 5$, we have $v(\tau_u)\geq v((2u_3-1)!)-v(u_3!)-1\geq 3$ since $\dot{n}=0$. So for our purpose, we can assume $u_3\leq 4$.

For cases (1)-(3) and (4) with $u_3=1$, by the definition of τ_u, we can easily check that $v(\tau_u)\geq 3$. We here omit the details.

For case (4) with $u_3=0$, if $u_2=2$, then $v(\tau_u)=v((2n-4)!)-(n-4)-v((n-4)!)-v(2!)=1+v(n-2)=2+v(\frac{n}{2}-1)$., i.e., $\tau_u\equiv 0 \pmod 8$ for $v(n)=1$ and $\tau_u\equiv 4 \pmod 8$ for $v(n)\geq 2$. If $u_4=1$, then $\tau_u=(-1)^{n-4}\frac{(2n-5)!}{2^{n-4}(n-4)!5}=2\frac{(n-3)(2n-5)!!}{5}\equiv(-1)^{\frac{n}{2}}2 \pmod 8$.

For case (5), if $u_3=2$, then $v(\tau_u)=v((2n-7)!)-(n-4)-v((n-8)!)-v(2!)=v((n-4)(n-6))-1$. Hence $\tau_u\equiv 4 \pmod 8$ unless $n\equiv 4$ or $-2 \pmod 8$ where $\tau_u\equiv 0 \pmod 8$; if $u_3=1$, then $\tau_u=(-1)^{n-4}\frac{(2n-5)!}{2^{n-5}(n-5)!\cdot3\cdot4}=\frac{(n-3)(n-4)(2n-5)!!}{3}$. It implies that $\tau_u\equiv 0 \pmod 8$ if $v(n)=2$, $\tau_u\equiv 4 \pmod 8$ if $v(n)>2$, and $\tau_u\equiv -n \pmod 8$ if $v(n)=1$; if $u_3=0$, then $\tau_u=(-1)^{n-2}\frac{(2n-3)!}{2^{n-2}(n-2)!\cdot3}=\frac{(2n-3)!!}{3}\equiv 3\cdot(-1)^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \pmod 8$.

For case (6), if $u_3 = 4$, then $\tau_u = (-1)^{n-9}\frac{(2n-10)!}{2^{n-12+8}(n-12)!\cdot 4!} = -\frac{(n-5)\cdots(n-11)(2n-11)!!}{2^4 3}$. Therefore $\tau_u \equiv 0 \pmod 4$ if $v(n) = 1$ and $\tau_u \equiv \frac{n}{4} - 2 \pmod 8$ if $v(n) \geq 2$; if $u_3 = 3$, then $v(\tau_u) = v((2n-8)!) - (n-9+6) - v((n-9)!) - v(3!) = v((n-4)(n-6)(n-8) - 2 \geq 3$ unless $n \equiv 2 \pmod 8$ where $v(\tau_u) = 2$; if $u_3 = 2$, then we can derive that $\tau_u = -\frac{(2n-6)!}{2^{n-2}(n-6)!\cdot 2} = -\frac{(n-3)(n-4)(n-5)(2n-7)!!}{4}$. So $\tau_u \equiv \frac{3(n-4)}{4} \pmod 4$ if $v(n) = 1$ and $\tau_u \equiv \frac{n-4}{4} \pmod 8$ if $v(n) \geq 2$; if $u_3 = 1$, then $\tau_u = -\frac{(2n-4)!}{2^{n-1}(n-3)!} = -\frac{(n-2)(2n-5)!!}{2}$. Thus $\tau_u \equiv -\frac{n-2}{2} \pmod 8$ if $v(n) = 1$ and $\tau_u \equiv -3\frac{n-2}{2} \pmod 8$ if $v(n) \geq 2$. If $u_3 = 0$, then $\tau_u = -\frac{(2n-3)!}{2n}$. By Lemma 4.1, we have $\tau_u \equiv -\frac{1}{2n} \pmod 4$ if $v(n) = 1$. By Lemma 4.2 (iii), we deduce that if $v(n) = 2$, then $\tau_u \equiv \frac{1+(-1)^{\frac{n+4}{8}}16}{2n} \equiv \frac{1}{2n} - 2 \pmod 8$, and if $v(n) > 2$, then

$$\tau_u \equiv \frac{1 + (-1)^{\frac{\frac{n}{2^{v(n)}}-1}{2}} 2^{v(n)+2}}{2n} \equiv \frac{1}{2n} + 2 \pmod 8$$

since $(-1)^{\frac{a-1}{2}} \equiv a \pmod 4$ for any odd number a. Theorem 4.8 is proved. □

Theorem 4.9. *Let $n = m + l$, $l = k \cdot 2^N$ with $2 \nmid k$. Let $N \geq 3$ and $m \geq 2N + 1$.*

(i). *If $2 \nmid m$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\widehat{B}_n}{n} \equiv & c_1^l \frac{\widehat{B}_m}{m} + l(c_1^{n-12}c_3^4 + c_1^{n-15}c_3^5 + c_1^{n-5}c_2c_3 + c_1^{n-8}c_2c_3^2 + c_1^{n-7}c_7)\\ & (-1)^{\frac{m+1}{2}}\frac{l}{2}(-c_1^n + c_1^{n-3}c_3 + c_1^{n-6}c_3^2 + c_1^{n-9}c_3^3) \pmod{2^{N+1}\mathbf{Z}_2[c_1, ..., c_n]}.\end{aligned}$$

(ii). *If $2|m$ and $4 \nmid m$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\widehat{B}_n}{n} \equiv & c_1^l \frac{\widehat{B}_m}{m} + (l + \frac{l}{2mn})c_1^n + l(c_1^{n-9}c_3^3 + c_1^{n-18}c_3^6 + c_1^{n-5}c_2c_3 + c_1^{n-8}c_2c_3^2)\\ & - \frac{l}{2}c_1^{n-3}c_3 + \frac{3}{4}lc_1^{n-6}c_3^2 + \theta c_1^{n-12}c_3^4 \pmod{2^{N+1}\mathbf{Z}_2[c_1, ..., c_n]},\end{aligned}$$

where $\theta = -\frac{l}{2}$ for $N = 3$ and $\theta = \frac{l}{2}$ for $N \geq 4$.

(iii). *If $4|m$ and $8 \nmid m$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\widehat{B}_n}{n} \equiv & c_1^l \frac{\widehat{B}_m}{m} + (l - \frac{l}{2mn})c_1^n + \frac{l}{2}c_1^{n-3}c_3 + \frac{l}{4}(c_1^{n-6}c_3^2 + c_1^{n-12}c_3^4)\\ & + l(c_1^{n-8}c_2c_3^2 + c_1^{n-5}c_2c_3) \pmod{2^{N+1}\mathbf{Z}_2[c_1, ..., c_n]}.\end{aligned}$$

(iv). *If $8|m$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\widehat{B}_n}{n} \equiv & c_1^l \frac{\widehat{B}_m}{m} - \left(\frac{(2n-3)!!}{2n} - \frac{(2m-3)!!}{2m}\right)c_1^n + \frac{l}{2}c_1^{n-3}c_3 + \frac{5l}{4}c_1^{n-12}c_3^4\\ & + \frac{l}{4}c_1^{n-6}c_3^2 + l(c_1^{n-24}c_3^8 + c_1^{n-5}c_2c_3 + c_1^{n-8}c_2c_3^2) \pmod{2^{N+1}\mathbf{Z}_2[c_1, ..., c_n]}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. First we consider the terms $\tau_u c^u$ where $u_1 < l$. Let $u_1 = l - x$ with $1 \leq x \leq l$. Consider the following two cases:

CASE I: $\dot{n} = 0$, i.e., $n = u_1 + 3u_3 = l + m$. Clearly $3u_3 = m + x$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}v(\tau_u) &= v\big((2(l - x + 2u_3 - 1))!\big) - (l - x + 2u_3) - v\big((l - x)!\big) - v(u_3!)\\ &= v\big((l - x + 2u_3 - 1)!\big) - v\big((l - x)!\big) - v(u_3!) - 1.\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

If $x \geq 2m$, then $u_3 \geq m$ since $3u_3 = m + x$. Thus by (4.18),

$$v(\tau_u) \geq v((2u_3 - 1)!) - v(u_3!) - 1 \tag{4.19}$$
$$\geq v((u_3 - 1)!) - 1 \tag{4.20}$$
$$\geq v((m - 1)!) - 1. \tag{4.21}$$

It's easy to see that $v(\tau_u) \geq N + 1$ for $m \geq 2N + 1$ and $N \geq 4$ or $N = 3$, $m \geq 9$ by (4.21). Now we consider the cases $N = 3$, $m = 7$ or 8. Note that $u_3 \geq m \geq 7$, then by (4.20) and (4.19), we have $v(\tau_u) \geq 4$ for $u_3 \geq 9$ or $u_3 = 7$. For $N = 3$, $u_3 = 8$, and $m = 7$ or 8, by (4.18) and $3u_3 = m + x$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} v(\tau_u) &= v((l + m - 9)!) - v((l - (24 - m))!) - v(8!) - 1 \\ &\geq v((l - 2)!) - v((l - 16)!) - 8 \geq 4. \end{aligned}$$

Now we deal with the case $x < 2m$. Since $3u_3 = m + x$, we may let $x = 2m - 3z \geq 1$, where $z \in \mathbf{Z}^+$. Then $u_3 = m - z$. Thus by (4.18),

$$v(\tau_u) = v((l + z - 1)!) - v((l - (2m - 3z))!) - v((m - z)!) - 1 \tag{4.22}$$
$$\geq N + v((z - 1)!) + v((2m - 3z - 1)!) - v((m - z)!) - 1. \tag{4.23}$$

If $m \leq 2z - 5$, then $z - 1 \geq m - z + 4$. Hence by (4.23) we get $v(\tau_u) \geq N + v(4!) + v((2m - 3z - 1)!) - 1 \geq N + 2$.

If $m = 2z - 4$, then $z \geq 9$ since $x = 2m - 3z \geq 1$. It follows that $v(\tau_u) \geq N + v((z - 1)!) + v((z - 9)!) - v((z - 4)!) - 1 \geq N + 1$ by (4.23).

If $m = 2z - 3$, then $z \geq 7$. If $z \geq 9$, we have $v(\tau_u) \geq N + v((z - 1)!) + v((z - 7)!) - v((z - 3)!) - 1 \geq N + 1$ by (4.23). If $z = 8$, then $m = 13, u_3 = 5$ and thereby $v(\tau_u) = v((l + 7)!) - v((l - 2)!) - v(5!) - 1 = N$. If $z = 7$, then $m = 11, u_3 = 4$ and $v(\tau_u) = v((l + 6)!) - v((l - 1)!) - v(4!) - 1 = N$.

If $m = 2z - 2$, then $z \geq 5$. So if $z \neq 6$, 8, we deduce that $v(\tau_u) \geq N + v((z - 1)!) + v((z - 5)!) - v((z - 2)!) - 1 \geq N + 1$. If $z = 8$, $m = 14$, $u_3 = 6$, we have $v(\tau_u) = v((l + 7)!) - v((l - 4)!) - v(6!) - 1 = N$. If $z = 6$, then $m = 10$, $u_3 = 4$ and $u_1 = l - 2$. Since $(2l + 9)!! \equiv 1 \pmod{2^4}$, we have

$$\tau_u = -\frac{(2l + 10)!}{2^{l-2+8}(l - 2)!4!} = -\frac{(l - 1)...(l + 5)}{2^4 \cdot 3}(2l + 9)!! \pmod{2^{N+1}}.$$

Thus $\tau_u \equiv -\frac{l}{2}$ if $N \geq 4$ and $\tau_u \equiv \frac{l}{2}$ if $N = 3$.

If $m = 2z - 1$, then $z \geq 4$ since $m \geq 2N + 1 \geq 7$. If $z \geq 7$, we get $v(\tau_u) \geq N + v((z - 1)!) + v((z - 3)!) - v((z - 1)!) - 1 \geq N + 1$. If $z = 6$, then $m = 11, u_3 = 5$ and thereby $v(\tau_u) = v((l + 5)!) - v((l - 4)!) - v(5!) - 1 = N$. If $z = 5$, then $m = 9, u_3 = 4$ and thus $v(\tau_u) = v((l + 4)!) - v((l - 3)!) - v(4!) - 1 = N$. If $z = 4$, then $N = 3$, $m = 7$, $u_3 = 3$ and $u_1 = l - 2$. Since $v(2l) \geq 4$, $(2l + 5)!! \equiv -1 \pmod{2^4}$. Hence

$$\tau_u = \frac{(2l + 6)!}{2^{l-2+6}(l - 2)!3!} = \frac{(l - 1)...(l + 3)}{2^2 \cdot 3}(2l + 5)!! \equiv \frac{l}{2} \pmod{2^{N+1}}.$$

If $m = 2z$, then $z \geq 4$ since $m \geq 7$. If $z \neq 4, 8$, by (4.23) we have $v(\tau_u) \geq N + 2v((z - 1)!) - v(z!) - 1 \geq N + 1$. If $z = 8$, then $m = 16$, $u_3 = 8$ and $u_1 = l - 8$, $v(\tau_u) = v((l + 7)!) - v((l - 8)!) - v(8!) - 1 = N$. If $z = 4$, then $N = 3$, $m = 8$, $u_3 = 4$ and $u_1 = l - 4$. Since $(2l + 5)!! \equiv -1 \pmod{2^4}$, we have

$$\tau_u = -\frac{(2l + 6)!}{2^{l-4+8}(l - 4)!4!} = -\frac{(l - 3)...(l + 3)}{2^4 \cdot 3}(2l + 5)!! \equiv \frac{5}{4}l \pmod{2^{N+1}}.$$

If $m = 2z + 1$, then $z \geq 3$ since $m \geq 7$. Thus by (4.23) we have $v(\tau_u) \geq N + v((z-1)!) - 1 \geq N + 1$ for $z \geq 5$. If $z = 4$, then $m = 9, u_3 = 5$ and $u_1 = l - 6$. Hence $v(\tau_u) = v((l+3)!) - v((l-6)!) - v(4!) - 1 = N$. If $z = 3$, then $N = 3$, $m = 7$, $u_3 = 4$ and $u_1 = l - 5$, we have $v((l+2)!) - v((l-5)!) - v(4!) - 1 = N$ by (4.22).

If $m = 2z + 2$, then $z \geq 3$ since $m \geq 7$. Hence $v(\tau_u) \geq N + v((z-1)!) + v((z+3)!) - v((z+2)!) - 1 \geq N + 1$ for $z \neq 4$. If $z = 4$, then $m = 10$, $u_3 = 6$ and $u_1 = l - 8$, $v(\tau_u) = v((l+3)!) - v((l-8)!) - v(6!) - 1 = N$.

If $m = 2z + 3$, then $z \geq 2$ since $m \geq 7$. We can easily get that $v(\tau_u) \geq N + v((z-1)!) + v((z+5)!) - v((z+3)!) - 1 \geq N + 1$ for $z \geq 3$. If $z = 2$, then $N = 3$, $m = 7$, $u_3 = 5$ and $u_1 = l - 8$, $v(\tau_u) = v((l+1)!) - v((l-8)!) - v(5!) - 1 = N$.

If $m = 2z + 4$, $z \geq 2$ since $m \geq 7$. Evidently by (4.22) and (4.23) we get $v(\tau_u) \geq N + 1$.

If $m \geq 2z + 5$, clearly we have $2m - 3z - 1 \geq m - z + 4$. Then $v(\tau_u) \geq N + 1$ by (4.23).

Hence in this case, we get $\tau_u \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{N+1}}$ if $m \geq 2N + 1$ except for the terms which we have written down in the theorem.

Case II: $\dot{n} > 0$. First assume that $n + d - 2 \geq 2l + 2u_3$. Then there exists an integer $\eta \geq 0$, maximal, such that $n + d - 2 \geq 2l + 2u_3 + 2\eta$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} v(\tau_u) &\geq v((2l + 2u_3 + 2\eta)!) - (l - x + 2u_3) - v((l-x)!) - v(u_3!) - e \\ &\geq \eta + x - u_3 + N - e. \end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

If $\eta + x - u_3 - e \geq 1$, then $v(\tau_u) \geq N + 1$. So we need only to consider the case $\eta + x + u_3 - e \leq 0$, i.e., $e \geq \eta + x - u_3$. On the other hand, by Theorem 4.6 we have $n + d - 2 \geq 2(l - x + 2u_3 + e + 1)$ except for case (ii) $\dot{n} = 2$ and (iii) $\dot{n} = 7 \cdot 2^{\alpha}, u_7 = 2^{\alpha}$. Then we get $n + d - 2 \geq 2(l - x + 2u_3 + \eta + x - u_3 + 1) \geq 2(l + u_3 + \eta + 1)$. This contradicts to the maximality of η.

In case (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 4.6, if $x \geq 3$, similarly by (4.24) we have $v(\tau_u) \geq \eta + x - u_3 + N + 1 - e$. Then $e \geq \eta + x - u_3 + 1$ and by theorem 4.6 we have $n + d - 2 \geq 2(l - x + 2u_3 + e) \geq 2(l + u_3 + \eta + 1)$. Similarly we get a contradiction. Let now $x = 1$ or 2. In case $\dot{n} = 2$, since $m + x = 3u_3 + 2$ with $m \geq 7$, we get $u_3 \geq 2$. Then $v(\tau_u) = v((l - x + 2u_3)!) - v((l-x)!) - v(u_3!) \geq N + 1$ except for $u_3 = 2$, $u_2 = 1$, $x = 1$ and $m = 7$ where $v(\tau_u) = N$. In case $\dot{n} = 7 \cdot 2^{\alpha}, u_7 = 2^{\alpha}$, since $m + x = 3u_3 + 7u_7$ and $m \geq 7$, we have $u_7 \geq 2$ or $u_3 \geq 1$, $u_7 \geq 1$. Thus $v(\tau_u) = v((l - x + 2u_3 + 4u_7 - 1)! - v((l-x)!) - v(u_7!) + u_7 - 1 - v(u_3!) \geq N + 1$. It follows that $v(\tau_u) \geq N + 1$ if $n + d - 2 \geq 2l + 2u_3$ except for $u_3 = 2$, $u_2 = 1$, $u_1 = l - 1$ and $m = 7$ where $v(\tau_u) = N$.

Consequently we discuss $n + d - 2 < 2l + 2u_3$. Then $m - x + \dot{d} - 2 < u_3$. since $\dot{d} \geq 1$, we have $x \geq m - u_3$. Assume that $\dot{n} \neq 7 \cdot 2^{\alpha}$. Since $\dot{n} = m + x - 3u_3 > 0$ and $x \geq m - u_3$, we have $v(\tau_u) \geq u_3 + \lceil \frac{m + x - 3u_3}{2} \rceil - 1 \geq m - u_3 - 1$ by Theorem 4.7. Clearly if $u_3 \geq N + 1$ or $u_3 \leq N - 1$, we have $v(\tau_u) \geq N + 1$ since $m \geq 2N + 1$. If $u_3 = N$, $v(\tau_u) \geq N + 1$ except for $\dot{n} = 2$. If $\dot{n} = 2$ and $u_3 = N$, we have $m + x = 3u_3 + 2 = 3N + 2$. Then $x = 3N + 2 - m \leq N + 1$ since $m \geq 2N + 1$. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} v(\tau_u) &= v((l - x + 2N)!) - v((l-x)!) - v(N!) \\ &= v((l - x + 2N)...(l - x + 1)) - v(N!) \\ &\geq v((2N - x)!) + N + v((x-1)!) - v(N!) \end{aligned}$$

Then we can check that $v(\tau_u) \geq N + 1$ since $x \leq N + 1$ and $N \geq 3$.

Now let $\dot{n} = 7 \cdot 2^{\alpha}$ and $u_7 = 2^{\alpha}$. Then $n = l - x + 3u_3 + 7u_7$. If $u_7 \geq 2$, we have $v(\tau_u) = u_7 - 1 + v((u_1 + 2u_3 + 4u_7 - 1)!) - v(u_1!) - v(u_3!) - v(u_7!) \geq u_3 + 4 \geq N + 1$ for $u_3 \geq N - 3$. If $u_3 \leq N - 4$, we have $v(\tau_u) \geq u_3 + \lceil \frac{\dot{n}}{2} \rceil - 3 \geq m - u_3 - 3 \geq N + 1$ by theorem

4.7. If $u_7=1$, we have $3u_3+7=m+x\geq 2m-u_3$. Hence $4u_3\geq 2m-7\geq 4N-5$. So we deduce that $u_3\geq N-1$. It follows that $v(\tau_u)=v((l-x+2u_3+3)!)-v((l-x)!)-v(u_3!)\geq u_3+1\geq N+1$ for $u_3\geq N$. For $u_3=N-1$ and $u_7=1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} v(\tau_u) &= v((l-x+2N+1)!)-v((l-x)!)-v((N-1)!)\\ &\geq v((2N+1-x)!)+N+v((x-1)!)-v((N-1)!). \end{aligned}$$

Since $x\geq m-u_3\geq 2N+1-(N-1)\geq N+2$, we get $v(\tau_u)\geq N+1$.

Now we consider the terms $\tau_u c^u$ of $\frac{\widehat{B}_n}{n}$ where $u_1\geq l$. Subtract l from u_1, i.e. let $u_1'=u_1-l=q$ and $u_i'=u_i$ if $i\neq 1$, then $\omega(u')=m$. Next let $\dot{u}_1=\dot{u}_3=0$, $\dot{u}_i=u_i(i\neq 1,3)$. Then $v(\gamma_{\dot{u}})=v(\gamma_u)-u_1-2u_3-v((u_1)!)-v((u_3)!)=e$, $\omega(\dot{u})=n-u_1-3u_3=\dot{n}$. Since $d'=d(u')=d(u)-l$, we have

$$n+d-2=2l+m+d'-2=2(l+q+2u_3)+\dot{n}+\dot{d}-2.$$

Now use Lemma 4.4(iv) and Lemma 4.6 with $a=\dot{n}+\dot{d}-2$, noting that $\gamma_u=2^{l+q+2u_3}(l+q)!u_3!\gamma_{\dot{u}}$ and $\gamma_{u'}=2^{q+2u_3}q!u_3!\gamma_{\dot{u}}$, we get

$$\tau_u\equiv\tau_{u'}\pmod{2^{N+1}}$$

except for cases (i)-(iii) in Lemma 4.6.

First for the special case (ii): $\dot{n}=7\cdot 2^\alpha$ and $u_7=2^\alpha$, we have $n+d-2=2u_1+4u_3+8u_7-2=2(l+q+2u_3+4u_7)-2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_u &= (-1)^{d-1}\frac{(2(l+q+2u_3+4u_7-1)!)}{2^{l+q+2u_3+3u_7}(l+q)!u_3!u_7!}\\ &= (-1)^{d-1}\frac{(l+q+2u_3+4u_7-1)!}{(1+q)!u_3!\xi}\big(2(l+q+2u_3+4u_7-1)-1)!!\big), \end{aligned}$$

where $u_7!=2^{u_7-1}\xi$ with $2\nmid\xi$. Similarly we get

$$\tau_u'=(-1)^{d'-1}\frac{(q+2u_3+4u_7-1)!}{q!u_3!\xi}\big(2(q+2u_3+4u_7-1)-1)!!\big).$$

Since $\big(2(l+q+2u_3+4u_7-1)-1)!!\big)\equiv\big(2(q+2u_3+4u_7-1)-1)!!\big)\pmod{2^{N+1}}$, we get

$$\tau_u\equiv\tau_u'+\frac{l}{u_3!}\sum_{j=1}^{2u_3+4u_7-1}\frac{(q+1)...(q+2u_3+4u_7-1)}{q+j}\pmod{2^{N+1}}. \tag{4.25}$$

Clearly $\frac{(q+1)...(q+2u_3+4u_7-1)}{q+j}=f_q(u_3+2u_7-1,j)(q+2u_3+4u_7-1)$ or $f_q(u_3+2u_7-1,j)(q+j)$. It follows from (4.25) and Lemma 4.3 $\tau_u\equiv\tau_u'\pmod{2^{N+1}}$ if $u_3\geq 1$, or if $u_3=0$ and $u_7\geq 2$. If $u_3=0$ and $u_7=1$, we can check that $\tau_u\equiv\tau_u'\pmod{2^{N+1}}$ if $2|m$ and $\tau_u\equiv\tau_u'+l\pmod{2^{N+1}}$ if $2\nmid m$ since $q=m-7$.

Consequently, for the special case (iii): $\dot{n}=2$ and $u_2=1$, we have $v(\gamma_{\dot{u}})=0$ and $d=d'+l$. Applying Lemma 4.4 (ii) with $a=\dot{n}+\dot{d}-2=1$ and $r=u_3$ we have $\tau_u\equiv\tau_{u'}\pmod{2^{N+1}}$ for $u_3\neq 1,2$ and $\tau_u\equiv\tau_{u'}+l\pmod{2^{N+1}}$ for $u_3=1,2$.

Finally we deal with the special case (i): $\dot{n}=0$, i.e, $n=u_1+3u_3$. Clearly we have $u_1=l+m-3u_3$. Then $n+d-2=2u_1+4u_3-2=2(l+m-u_3-1)$ and $m+d'-2=2(m-u_3-1)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_u &= (-1)^{l+m-2u_3-1}\frac{(2(l+m-u_3-1))!}{2^{l+m-u_3}(l+m-3u_3)!u_3!}\\ &= (-1)^{m-1}\frac{(l+m-u_3-1)!(2l+2m-2u_3-3)!!}{2(l+m-3u_3)!u_3!}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

Similarly,

$$\tau_{u'} = (-1)^{m-1}\frac{((m-u_3-1))!(2m-2u_3-3)!!}{2(m-3u_3)!u_3!}. \tag{4.27}$$

Let $\Delta = \tau_u - \tau_{u'}$. If $u_3 = 0$, then $u_1 = n = l+m$ and $u_1' = m$. Hence

$$\Delta = (-1)^{m-1}\Big(\frac{(2n-2)!}{2^n n!} - \frac{(2m-2)!}{2^m m!}\Big) = g(n) - g(m), \tag{4.28}$$

where $g(n), g(m)$ are defined as in Lemma 4.5. Therefore by Lemma 4.5, $\Delta \equiv (-1)^{\frac{m-1}{2}}\frac{l}{2}$ $\pmod{2^{N+1}}$ if $2 \nmid m$, or $\Delta \equiv l + \frac{l}{2mn} \pmod{2^{N+1}}$ if $2|m$ and $4 \nmid m$, or $\Delta \equiv l - \frac{l}{2mn}$ $\pmod{2^{N+1}}$ if $4|m$ and $8 \nmid m$. But if $8|m$, $\Delta \equiv \frac{(2m-3)!!}{2m} - \frac{(2n-3)!!}{2n} \pmod{2^{N+1}}$ by (4.28).

Now we assume $u_3 > 0$. Consider the following cases.

Case 1: $u_3 = 1$. Then by (4.26) and (4.27),

$$\Delta = (-1)^{m-1}\Big(\frac{l+m-2}{2}(2l+2m-5)!! - \frac{m-2}{2}(2m-5)!!\Big). \tag{4.29}$$

If $2 \nmid m$, we get $(2l+2m-5)!! \equiv (2m-5)!! \pmod{2^{N+2}}$ for $N \geq 2$ by Lemma 4.2 (ii) with $a = m-1$. Then we gain $\Delta \equiv \frac{l}{2}(2m-5)!! \pmod{2^{N+2}}$ for $N \geq 2$ by (4.29). Also we have $(2m-5)!! = (2(m-2)-1)!! \equiv (-1)^{\frac{m-3}{2}} \equiv (-1)^{\frac{m+1}{2}} \pmod{4}$ by Lemma 4.1 (i). Then we deduce that $\Delta \equiv (-1)^{\frac{m+1}{2}}\frac{l}{2} \pmod{2^{N+1}}$.

If $2|m$, we have $(2m-5)!! \equiv -(2m-7)!! \equiv -(4(\frac{m-2}{2})-3)!! \equiv (-1)^{\frac{m-2}{2}} \pmod{4}$ by Lemma 4.1 (ii). It's easy to see that $(2l+2m-5)!! \equiv (2m-5)!! \pmod{2^{N+1}}$ by (4.29) with $u_3 = 1$. Then we have $\Delta \equiv -\frac{l}{2}(2m-5)!! \equiv (-1)^{\frac{m}{2}}\frac{l}{2} \pmod{2^{N+1}}$.

Case 2: $u_3 = 2$. By (4.26) and (4.27) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta =&(-1)^{m-1}\Big(\frac{(l+m-3)(l+m-4)(l+m-5)}{4}(2l+2m-7)!!\\ &-\frac{(m-3)(m-4)(m-5)}{4}(2m-7)!!\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

If $2 \nmid m$, we have $(m-4)^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $(2m-7)!! = -(2m-5)!! \equiv (-1)^{\frac{m-1}{2}}$ $\pmod{4}$ since $2m-7 \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ and by Lemma 4.1(i). Since $v(l) = N$, $(2l+2m-7)!! \equiv (2m-7)!! \pmod{2^{N+1}}$. Thus we deduce from (4.30) that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta &\equiv \frac{l}{4}\big((2(m-4)^2 + (m-3)(m-5)\big)(2m-7)!!\\ &\equiv \frac{l}{2}(m-4)^2(2m-7)!!\\ &\equiv (-1)^{\frac{m-1}{2}}\frac{l}{2} \pmod{2^{N+1}},\end{aligned}$$

since $v\big((m-3)(m-5)\big) \geq 3$.

If $2|m$, by Lemma 4.1(ii) we have $(2m-7)!! \equiv (4(\frac{m-2}{2})-3)!! \equiv (-1)^{\frac{m}{2}} \pmod{16}$. Letting $u_3 = 2$ gives us $(2l+2m-7)!! \equiv (2m-7)!! \pmod{2^{N+1}}$. Then by (4.30),

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta &\equiv -\frac{l}{4}\big(2(m-4)^2 + (m-3)(m-5)\big)(2m-7)!!\\ &\equiv -\frac{l}{4}(m-3)(m-5)(2m-7)!!\\ &\equiv (-1)^{\frac{m+2}{2}}\frac{l}{4}(m^2-1) \pmod{2^{N+1}}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.31}$$

since $(m-3)(m-5) \equiv m^2-1 \pmod 8$. It follows from (4.31) that $\Delta \equiv \frac{3}{4}l$ if $m \equiv 2 \pmod 4$ and $\Delta \equiv \frac{1}{4}l$ if $m \equiv 0 \pmod 4$.

In what follows we consider the case $u_3 \geq 3$. Since $v(\frac{(l+m-u_3-1)!}{2(l+m-3u_3)!u_3!}) \geq v((2u_3-1)!) - v(u_3!) - 1 \geq v((u_3-1)!) - 1 \geq 0$, we have

$$\Delta \equiv (-1)^{m-1}(2m-2u_3-3)!! \cdot A \pmod{2^{N+1}}. \tag{4.32}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{(l+m-u_3-1)!}{2(l+m-3u_3)u_3!} - \frac{(m-u_3-1)!}{2(m-3u_3)!u_3!} \\ &= \frac{1}{2u_3!}\Big(\prod_{t=1}^{2u_3-1}(l+m-3u_3+t) - \prod_{t=1}^{2u_3-1}(m-3u_3+t)\Big) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{2u_3-1} \frac{l^i}{2u_3!} \sum_{1\leq j_1<\ldots<j_i\leq 2u_3-1} \frac{\prod_{t=1}^{2u_3-1}(m-3u_3+t)}{\prod_{s=1}^{i}(m-3u_3+j_s)} \\ &:= \sum_{i=1}^{2u_3-1} A_i. \end{aligned} \tag{4.33}$$

We claim that $A \equiv A_1 \pmod{2^{N+1}}$ except for $N=3$, $u_3=4$ and $m \equiv 2 \pmod 4$ where $A \equiv A_1 + l \pmod{2^{N+1}}$. In what follows we show the claim. Since $\frac{\prod_{t=1}^{2u_3-1}(m-3u_3+t)}{\prod_{s=1}^{i}(m-3u_3+j_s)}$ contains at least u_3-1-i even numbers, we derive from (4.33) that

$$v(A_i) \geq iN - (v(u_3!)+1) + (u_3-1-i) \geq iN - i - 1 \geq N+1$$

if $N \geq 4$, $2 \leq i \leq 2u_3-1$ or if $N=3$, $3 \leq i \leq 2u_3-1$. If $N=3$ and $i=2$, and $v(u_3!) \leq u_3-2$, then we have $v(A_2) \geq 6 - (v(u_3!)+1) + (u_3-1-2) \geq 4$. If $N=3$ and $v(u_3!) = u_3-1$, then $u_3 = 2^\alpha, \alpha \geq 2$ since $u_3 \geq 3$. If $\alpha \geq 3$, i.e. $u_3 \geq 8$, then $\prod_{t=1}^{2u_3-1}(m-3u_3+t)$ contains at least u_3-1 even numbers and at least three of which are divisible by 4. Thus $v(A_2) \geq 6 - (v(u_3!)+1) + (u_3-2) \geq 4$. It remains to consider the case $N=3$, $u_3=4$. By (4.33) we have

$$A_2 \equiv \frac{l^2}{2\cdot 4!}(m-11)(m-9)(m-7)(m-5)\cdot\big((m-10)+(m-8)+(m-6)\big) \pmod{2^4}.$$

Hence $v(A_2) \geq 4 = N+1$ if $2 \nmid m$ or $4|m$, and $v(A_2) = 3 = N$ if $m \equiv 2 \pmod 4$. Therefore the claim is proved.

By the claim and (4.32), we have

$$\Delta \equiv (-1)^{m-1}(2m-2u_3-3)!! \cdot A_1 + l \pmod{2^{N+1}}, \tag{4.34}$$

if $N=3, u_3=4, m \equiv 2 \pmod 4$, and

$$\Delta \equiv (-1)^{m-1}(2m-2u_3-3)!! \cdot A_1 \pmod{2^{N+1}} \tag{4.35}$$

otherwise, where

$$A_1 = \frac{l}{2u_3!}\sum_{j=1}^{2u_3-1}\frac{\prod_{t=1}^{2u_3-1}(m-3u_3+t)}{m-3u_3+j}. \tag{4.36}$$

Case 3: $u_3 = 3$. It then follows from (4.35) and (4.36) that

$$\Delta \equiv (-1)^{m-1}(2m-9)!!\frac{l}{12}\sum_{j=4}^{8}\frac{(m-8)\ldots(m-4)}{m-j} \pmod{2^{N+1}}. \tag{4.37}$$

If $4|m$, we have $v(m-8) \geq 2$, $v(m-4) \geq 2$ and $v(m-6) = 1$. Then we have $v(\sum_{j=4}^{8} \frac{(m-8)...(m-4)}{m-j}) \geq 3$. Hence $\Delta \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{N+1}}$.

If $2|m, 4 \nmid m$, we have $v(m-8) = v(m-4) = 1$ and $v(m-6) \geq 2$. Then we have $v(\sum_{j=4}^{8} \frac{(m-8)...(m-4)}{m-j}) = 2$. Hence $\Delta \equiv l \pmod{2^{N+1}}$.

If $2 \nmid m$, by (4.37) and noting that $2|(m-5)$, $2|(m-7)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &\equiv \frac{l}{12}(m-8)(m-6)(m-4)\big((m-7)+m-5)\big) \\ &\equiv \frac{l}{6}(m-8)(m-4)(m-6)^2 \equiv -\frac{l}{2} \pmod{2^{N+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $(2m-9)!! \equiv (-1)^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \pmod 4$ by Lemma 4.2 (i). Hence by (4.37), we have $\Delta \equiv (-1)^{\frac{m+1}{2}} \frac{l}{2} \pmod{2^{N+1}}$.

Case 4: $u_3 = 4$. By (4.35) and (4.36) we get

$$A_1 \equiv \frac{l}{2 \cdot 4!} \sum_{j=5}^{11} \frac{(m-11)...(m-5)}{m-j} \pmod{2^{N+1}}. \tag{4.38}$$

If $2 \nmid m$, then there is exactly a j_0, $5 \leq j_0 \leq 11$, such that $8|(m-j_0)$. Hence $v(\sum_{j=5}^{11} \frac{(m-11)...(m-5)}{m-j}) = v(\frac{(m-11)...(m-5)}{m-j_0}) = 4$. Thus $\Delta \equiv l \pmod{2^{N+1}}$ by (4.38).

If $2|m, 4 \nmid m$, we have $v(m-8) = 1$ and either $v(m-6) = 2$, $v(m-10) \geq 3$ or $v(m-6) \geq 3$, $v(m-10) = 2$. Clearly $(m-11)(m-9)(m-7)(m-5) \equiv 1 \pmod 4$. Then by (4.38), $A_1 \equiv \frac{l}{2 \cdot 4!}\big((m-8)((m-6)+(m-10)\big) \equiv \frac{l}{2 \cdot 4!} 2m^2 \equiv -\frac{l}{2} \pmod{2^{N+1}}$. Also by Lemma 4.2(ii), $(2m-11)!! \equiv 1 \pmod{16}$. Then by (4.34) and (4.35), $\Delta \equiv (-1) \cdot \frac{l}{48} \cdot 8 \cdot 1 \equiv \frac{l}{2} \pmod{2^{N+1}}$ if $N \geq 4$ and $\Delta \equiv -\frac{l}{2} \pmod{2^{N+1}}$ if $N = 3$.

If $4|m$, we have $v(m-6) = v(m-10) = 1$ and $v(m-8) \geq 2$. Then $v\big(\prod_{i=5}^{11}(m-i)(\frac{1}{m-5}+\frac{1}{m-7}+\frac{1}{m-9}+\frac{1}{m-11})\big) \geq 5$ and $(m-11)(m-9)(m-7)(m-5) \equiv 1 \pmod 8$. Hence $\sum_{j=5}^{11} \frac{(m-11)...(m-5)}{m-j} \equiv (m-8)(m-6)+(m-10)(m-6)+(m-8)(m-10) \equiv m^2-4 \pmod{2^5}$. Therefore $\Delta \equiv (-1) \cdot \frac{l}{48}(m^2-4) \cdot (-1) \equiv \frac{(m^2-4)l}{48} \pmod{2^{N+1}}$. Moreover, if $8 \nmid m$, then $\Delta \equiv \frac{l}{4} \pmod{2^{N+1}}$; if $8|m$, then $\Delta \equiv \frac{5}{4}l \pmod{2^{N+1}}$.

Case 5: $u_3 = 5$. By (4.35) and (4.36) we have

$$\Delta \equiv (-1)^{m-1}(2m-13)!! \frac{l}{2^4 \cdot 15} \sum_{j=6}^{14} \frac{(m-14)...(m-6)}{m-j} \pmod{2^{N+1}}.$$

If $2|m$, noting that $2^5 | \frac{(m-14)...(m-6)}{m-j}$ for $6 \leq j \leq 14$, we get $v(\Delta) \geq N+1$. That is, $\Delta \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{N+1}}$. If $2 \nmid m$, we can easily check that $v(\Delta) = v(l) = N$. Hence $\Delta \equiv l \pmod{2^{N+1}}$.

Case 6: $u_3 = 6$. By (4.35) and (4.36) we get

$$\Delta \equiv (-1)^{m-1}(2m-15)!! \frac{l}{2^5 \cdot 15} \sum_{j=7}^{17} \frac{(m-17)...(m-7)}{m-j} \pmod{2^{N+1}}.$$

If $2 \nmid m$ or $4|m$, we can easily show that $v(\Delta) \geq N+1$. That is, $\Delta \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{N+1}}$. If $2|m, 4 \nmid m$, we can check that $v(\Delta) = v(l) = N$. Then $\Delta \equiv l \pmod{2^{N+1}}$.

Case 7: $u_3 = 8$. By (4.35) and (4.36), we have

$$\Delta \equiv (-1)^{m-1}(2m-19)!! \frac{l}{2^8 \cdot 7!!} \sum_{j=9}^{23} \frac{(m-23)...(m-9)}{m-j} \pmod{2^{N+1}}.$$

Let $m-j_0=\max\{m-9,\dots,m-23\}$, then $v(\Delta)\geq N+v\big(\frac{(m-23)\dots(m-9)}{m-j_0}\big)-8$. If $8\nmid m$, then $j_0\neq 16$. Evidently the product $\frac{(m-23)\dots(m-9)}{m-j_0}$ contains at least six even numbers and four of which are consecutive. Then $v(\Delta)\geq N+1$, i.e., $\Delta\equiv 0 \pmod{2^{N+1}}$. If $8|m$, $j_0=16$, then $v(\Delta)=v(l)=N$. Hence $\Delta\equiv l \pmod{2^{N+1}}$.

CASE 8: $u_3=7$ or $u_3\geq 9$. If $u_3=7$, then by the remark after Lemma 4.3 applied to $i=u_3-1=6$ we get $v(A_1)\geq N+(u_3-1)+1-v(u_3!)-1=N+2$. So $v(\Delta)\geq N+1$. If $u_3\geq 9$, then $v(u_3!)\leq u_3-1$. Thus we have $v(A_1)\geq N+(u_3-1)+3-v(u_3!)-1\geq N+2$ by applying the remark after Lemma 4.3 for $i=u_3-1\geq 8$. Therefore $\Delta\equiv 0 \pmod{2^{N+1}}$.

The proof of Theorem 4.9 is complete. □

REFERENCES

[1] A. Adelberg, *Congruences of p-adic integer order Bernoulli numbers*, J. Number Theory **59** (1996), 374-388.

[2] A. Adelberg, *Universal higher order Bernoulli numbers and Kummer and related congruences,* J. Number Theory **84** (2000), 119–135.

[3] A. Adelberg, *Kummer congruences for universal Bernoulli numbers and related congruences for poly-Bernoulli numbers,* Int. Math. J. **1** (2002), 53-63.

[4] A. Adelberg, *Universal Kummer congruences mod prime powers,* J. Number Theory **109** (2004), 362–378.

[5] A. Adelberg, S. Hong and W. Ren, *Bounds of divided universal Bernoulli numbers and universal Kummer congruences*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136** (2008), 61-71.

[6] A. Baker, F. Clarke, N. Ray and L. Schwartz, *On the Kummer congruences and the Stable homotopy of BU*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **316** (1989), 385-432.

[7] L. Carlitz, *Criteria for Kummer's congruences*, Acta Arith. **6** (1961), 375-391.

[8] L. Carlitz, *Some theorems on Kummer's congruences*, Duke Math. J. **19** (1952), 423-431.

[9] L. Carlitz, *Some properties of Hurwitz series*, Duke Math. J. **16** (1949), 285-295.

[10] F. Clarke, *The universal von Staudt theorem,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **315** (1989), 591–603.

[11] F. Clarke and C. Jones, *A congruence for factorials,* Bull. London Math. Soc. **36** (2004), 553-558.

[12] I. Dibag, *An analogue of the von Staudt-Clausen theorem,* J. Algebra **87** (1984), 332–341.

[13] J.W.L. Glaisher, *On the residues of the sums of products of the first $p-1$ numbers, and their powers, to modulus p^2 or p^3,* Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math. **31** (1900), 321-353.

[14] J.W.L. Glaisher, *On the residues of the inverse powers of numbers in arithmetic progression,* Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math. **32** (1901), 271-305.

[15] S. Hong, *Notes on Glaisher's congruences,* Chinese Ann. Math. **21B** (2000), 33-38.

[16] A. Hurwitz, *Über die Entwicklungskoeffizienten der lemniskatischen Funktionen,* Math. Ann. **51** (1899), 196-226.

[17] K. Ireland and M. Rosen, *A classical introduction to modern number theory, 2nd edition*, GTM **84**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.

[18] N.M. Katz, *The congruences of Clausen-von Staudt and Kummer for Bernoulli-Hurwitz numbers,* Math. Ann. **216** (1975), 1–4.

[19] N. Koblitz, *p-Adic numbers, p-adic analysis, and zeta-functions*, GTM **58**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.

[20] E.E. Kummer, *Über eine allgemeine Eigenschaft der rationalen Entwicklungscoefficienten einer bestimmten Gattung analytischer Functionen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **41** (1851), 368-372.

[21] E. Lehmer, *On congruences involving Bernoulli numbers and the quotients of Fermat and Wilson,* Ann. of Math. **39** (1938), 350-360.

[22] H. Miller, *Universal Bernoulli numbers and the S^1-transfer*, Canad. Math. Soc. Conf. Proc., Vol. **2**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1982, pp. 437-449.

[23] M.R. Murty, *Introduction to p-adic analytic number theory*, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Vol. **27**, American Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.

[24] N. Ray, *Extensions of umbral calculus I: Penumbral coalgebras and generalised Bernoulli numbers,* Adv. Math. **61** (1986), 41-100.

[25] W. Ren, S. Hong and X. Zhou, *A generalization of Wilson's theorem,* J. Sichuan Univ. Nat. Sci. Ed. **43** (2006), 517-519.

[26] A. Robert, *A course in p-adic analysis*, GTM **198**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.

[27] I. Slavutskii, *On the generalized Glaisher-Hong congruences,* Chinese Ann. Math. **23 B** (2002), 63-66.
[28] C. Snyder, *Kummer congruences in formal groups and algebraic groups of dimension one*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **15** (1985), 1-11.
[29] P. Tempesta, *Formal groups, Bernoulli-type polynomials and L-series*, C. R. Acad. Paris, Series I **345** (2007), 303-306.
[30] L. Washington, *Introduction to cyclotomic fields*, GTM **83**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.
[31] L. Washington, *p-adic L-functions and sums of powers,* J. Number Theory **69** (1998), 50-61.
[32] P.T. Young, *Congruences for Bernoulli Euler and Stirling numbers*, J. Number Theory **78** (1999), 204-227.
[33] P.T. Young, *Kummer congruences for values of Bernoulli and Euler polynomials*, Acta Arith. **99** (2001), 277-288.
[34] J. Zhao, *Bernoulli numbers, Wolstenholme's theorem, and p^5 variations of Lucas' theorem,* J. Number Theory **123** (2007), 18-26.
[35] J. Zhao, *Multiple harmonic sums I: Generalzations of Wolstenholme's theorem,* arxiv.org/abs/math.NT/0301252.

Mathematical College, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, P.R. China
E-mail address: `s-f.hong@tom.com; hongsf02@yahoo.com`

Mathematical College, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, P.R. China, and School of Economic Mathematics, Southwestern University of Finance and Economics, Chengdu 610074, P.R. China
E-mail address: `jr-zhao@tom.com`

Mathematical College, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, P.R. China
E-mail address: `zhaowei9801@163.com`